

|  | 5 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Here. | 1 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Here. |
| 2 | MS. FROHLICH: Councilman Boyce? | 2 | MS. FROHLICH: Councilman Boyce? |
| 3 | (No response.) | 3 | (No Response.) |
| 4 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Eller? | 4 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Eller? |
| 5 | (No Response.) | 5 | MR. ELLER: Here. |
| 6 | MS. FROHLICH: Ms. Louloudis? | 6 | MS. FROHLICH: Ms. Louloudis? |
| 7 | MS. LOULOUDIS: Here. | 7 | MS. LOULOUDIS: Here. |
| 8 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Scozzafava? | 8 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Scozzafava? |
| 9 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Here. | 9 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Here. |
| 10 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Bedian? | 10 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Bedian? |
| 11 | MR. BEDIAN: Here. | 11 | MR. BEDIAN: Here. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Say Mr. Mammary one | 12 | (Whereupon, the Board conducts other |
| 13 | more time. | 13 | agenda items. The public hearing in this |
| 14 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Mammary? | 14 | matter continues at 7:21 p.m.) |
| 15 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Here. | 07:21PM 15 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Next up is 244 Old |
| 16 | (Whereupon, the Board conducts other | 07:21PM 16 | Tappan Road. |
| 17 | agenda items including reorganization, | 07:21PM 17 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: I will be |
| 18 | swearing in of one New Board Member Michael | 07:21PM 18 | excusing myself, Bob. |
| 19 | Azarian and swearing in of board members | 07:21PM 19 | (Whereupon, Councilman Gallagher |
| 20 | with renewed appointments. The public hearing | 07:21PM 20 | recuses himself and steps off the dais.) |
| 21 | in this matter continues at 7:12 p.m.) | 07:21PM 21 | MR. REGAN: Mr. -- yeah, Mr. Boyce |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Can we have a | 07:21PM 22 | isn't here or he'd have to recuse himself. |
| 23 | motion to close the reorganization? | 07:21PM 23 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: The floor is yours. |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Motion to close. | 07:21PM 24 | MS. PRICE: Good evening, Members of |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Second. | 07:21PM 25 | the Board, Members of the Public, Gail Price from the |
|  | 6 |  | 8 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: All in favor? | 07:21PM 1 | firm of Price, Meese, Shulman \& D'Arminio, 50 Tice |
| 2 | (Whereupon, all present members respond | 07:21PM 2 | Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey. |
| 3 | in the affirmative.) | 07:21PM 3 | We're here this evening as follow-up to |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Now we need a | 07:21PM 4 | our December meeting. As you know we started |
| 5 | motion to open the regular meeting | 07:22PM 5 | hearings in February of last year. So we're just |
| 6 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Motion to open. | 07:22PM 6 | about at the one-year mark with our hearings and we |
| 7 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Second. | 07:22PM 7 | all planned to have everything wrapped up this |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: All in favor? | 07:22PM 8 | evening. |
| 9 | (Whereupon, all present members respond | 07:22PM 9 | You'll hear from Mr. Steinhagen, we |
| 10 | in the affirmative.) | 07:22PM 10 | have been working very hard to reach an agreement |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Can we have a roll | 07:22PM 11 | with Lakeview, including up until about an hour ago. |
| 12 | call please, Diane? | 07:22PM 12 | And I'll let Mr. Steinhagen speak for his client. |
| 13 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Weidmann? | 07:22PM 13 | So I suppose that the one thing that |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Here. | 07:22PM 14 | was limited and reserved was an opportunity for |
| 15 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Mamary? | 07:22PM 15 | Mr. Steinhagen to ask questions of our planner. I've |
| 16 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Yes. | 07:22PM 16 | had Mr. Williams here, he's here this evening. So I |
| 17 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Maggio? | 07:22PM 17 | think that goes first. The record has been otherwise |
| 18 | MR. MAGGIO: Yes. | 07:22PM 18 | closed in the application. |
| 19 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Keil? | 07:22PM 19 | And I'll be ready to do summation and |
| 20 | MR. KEIL: Here. | 07:23РМ 20 | then would ask for instruction on the application |
| 21 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Alessi? | 07:23Рм 21 | unless there's other issues at that point in time. |
| 22 | MR. ALESSI: Here. | 07:23PM 22 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. FROHLICH: Ms. Haverilla? | 07:23Рм 23 | MR. REGAN: Mr. Steinhagen cross |
| 24 | MS. HAVERILLA: Here. | 07:23PM 24 | examine Mr. Williams. |
| 25 | MS. FROHLICH: Councilman Gallagher? | 07:23РМ 25 | Mr. Williams is here? |




|  | 17 |  | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:30РM 1 | services that CSH hopes to provide, isn't quite -- it | 07:32PM 1 | A. I want to say 2016. |
| 07:30PM 2 | doesn't push down on the positive side of the scale? | 07:32PM 2 | Q. So that may not have include -- so that |
| 07:30Рм 3 | A. I think I follow the question. | 07732PM 3 | master planning document may not have necessarily |
| 07:30Рм 4 | And, again, I apologize to the board | 07:32PM 4 | included an analysis of other developments in nearby |
| 07:30Рм 5 | and the audience, without reviewing the tables, I'd | 07:32PM 5 | communities post-2016? |
| 07:30РM 6 | be at a bit of a deficit. | 07:32PM 6 | A. I believe it included projections, but |
| 07:30РM 7 | But I will say this: Based on a review | 07:32PM 7 | don't quote me on that. |
| 07:30Рм 8 | of the Master Plan and hearing Mr. McElwee's | 07:32PM 8 | Q. Okay. Okay. |
| 07:30Рм 9 | testimony, he referred to the "Silver Tsunami," he | 07:32PM 9 | Do you know how many properties are |
| 07:30Рм 10 | provided his market analysis, which justifies the | 07:32PM 10 | identified in the Master Plan that are within Old |
| 07:30Рм 11 | need in this area and relied on the state certificate | 07:32PM 11 | Tappan that are designated as historic on either the |
| 07:30Рм 12 | of need. Our position was that there is a documented | 07:3зРм 12 | state or federal register? |
| 07:30Рм 13 | or substantiated need for those spaces, | 07:33РМ 13 | A. I do not. |
| 07:31PM 14 | notwithstanding the surrounding competition. | 07:33РМ 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:31PM 15 | Q. Doesn't the certificate of need look at | 07:3зРм 15 | You know that this property is, though? |
| 07:31PM 16 | the financial viability of the site, not necessarily | 07:3зРм 16 | A. Correct. |
| 07:31PM 17 | the number of beds of competitors in the neighboring | 07:3зРм 17 | Q. And you know that this property is |
| 07:31Pм 18 | communities or in this community? | 07:33Рм 18 | specifically referenced in the Master Plan for |
| 07:31PM 19 | A. The focus was on the need for the use, | 07:33Рм 19 | preservation, correct? |
| 07:31PM 20 | as well as what you mentioned and I think it went | 07:33Рм 20 | A. And that came up in one of the prior |
| 07:31PM 21 | onto talk about, if memory serves me correctly, the | 07:33РМ 21 | hearings, yes. |
| 07:31PM 22 | applicant's experience and success in this particular | 07:33РМ 22 | Q. Does approval of this project, with its |
| 07:31PM 23 | land use area. | 07:33РМ 23 | 81,000-square-foot assisted-living facility, preclude |
| 07:31PM 24 | Q. Okay. But -- so as you stand here | 07:33РМ 24 | the realization of the Master Plan goal of acquiring |
| 07:31PM 25 | today, having given testimony that there is a | 07:33Рм 25 | this property and preserving the Gerrit Haring house |
|  | 18 |  | 20 |
| 07:31PM 1 | significant need for this use, you don't know or you | 07:33PM 1 | on this property for public use? |
| 07:31PM 2 | can't personally quantify, within a reasonable degree | 07:33РМ 2 | A. The -- the phraseology is interesting. |
| 07:31PM 3 | of planning certainty, the extent of the need? | 07:33PM 3 | A simple answer would be, perhaps, yes. |
| 07:31PM 4 | A. I -- I can't without referring to my | 07:33РМ 4 | But in the broader context, the answer |
| 07:31PM 5 | notes or the file, but I would remind my testimony | 07:33РМ 5 | might be no. |
| 07:31PM 6 | included references to the Borough Master Plan, which | 07:33РМ 6 | For example, the testimony that was |
| 07:31PM 7 | spoke to the need for this type of housing. | 07:33РМ 7 | provided was that the house in question would be |
| 07:31PM 8 | Q. Did it say specifically memory care and | 07:33РМ 8 | relocated and that was a relocation that was agreed |
| 07:31PM 9 | assisted living or did it say senior housing? | 07:33РМ 9 | to by several parties and that open space would be |
| 07:31Pm 10 | A. It said both. It actually said the | 07:33РМ 10 | provided as well. |
| 07:32PM 11 | ordinance should be reviewed to include -- to meet | 07:33РМ 11 | Q. When you say -- |
| 07:32PM 12 | the demand for the continuum of housing for | 07:33РМ 12 | A. So in that sense the historic |
| 07:32PM 13 | age-restricted housing and that included, in my | 07:3зРм 13 | preservation -- |
| 07:32PM 14 | opinion, what's being -- | 07:33Рм 14 | Q. So you're preserving it by conveying it |
| 07:32PM 15 | Q. Would this -- would this -- this | 07:34PM 15 | to the Borough? |
| 07:32PM 16 | wouldn't be a use specific to Old Tappan, would it? | 07:34PM 16 | A. Relocating and conveying, correct. |
| 07:32PM 17 | There would be people coming from Old Tappan, but | 07:34PM 17 | Q. And that's something is, obviously, of |
| 07:32PM 18 | also from other communities, correct? | 07:34PM 18 | value to the Borough? |
| 07:32PM 19 | A. The use will be specific to the site in | 07:34PM 19 | A. Correct. |
| 07:32PM 20 | Old Tappan. But, of course, I think there was | 07:34PM 20 | Q. In your opinion? Okay. |
| 07:32PM 21 | testimony and discussion with the audience about | 07:34PM 21 | Another negative impact at least |
| 07:32PM 22 | where potential users would come from. And they | 07:34PM 22 | potentially from the perspective of the Lakeview |
| 07:32PM 23 | would come from the region. | 07:34PM 23 | board is how surface water or how stormwater is |
| 07:32PM 24 | Q. So what was the date of the Master Plan | 07:34PM 24 | addressed. |
| 07:32PM 25 | that you referred to? | 07:34PM 25 | Are you aware or have you heard |



|  | 25 |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:38PM 1 | you're proposing it, is to lift the Gerrit Haring | 07:39PM 1 | preserve this particular structure and the sense of |
| 07:38PM 2 | House off of its foundation and relocate it across | 07:39PM 2 | place -- |
| 07:38PM 3 | the street onto municipal property? | 07:39PM 3 | A. Oh -- |
| 07:388M 4 | Is that correct? | 07:39PM 4 | Q. -- that this structure is on? |
| 07:388м 5 | A. Correct. | 07:39PM 5 | A. -- so my testimony looked at the -- I |
| 07:38PM 6 | Q. Okay. | 07:39PM 6 | think, three iterations to the Master Plan in total |
| 07:38PM 7 | Will that take the property off the | 07:39Pм 7 | and spoke to a number of goals and objectives that |
| 07:38PM 8 | historic register, as far as you're aware? | 07:39PM 8 | would be advanced if this application were approved. |
| 07:38Pм 9 | A. I'm not a historic preservation expert, | 07:39Pм 9 | My opinion in terms of the relocation |
| 07:38PM 10 | but my understanding is that it would not. | 07:39Pм 10 | is the considered negotiations for how best to |
| 07:38Pм 11 | Q. Okay. | 07:39Pм 11 | relocate that historic structure within the Borough |
| 07:388м 12 | A. And the reason, I suppose that's true, | 07:39Рм 12 | would not be a substantial negative impact. |
| 07:388м 13 | is historic designations for significant events, | 07:40Рм 13 | Q. So there's been negotiations about |
| 07:38Рм 14 | architectural significance or a site. | 07:40Рм 14 | moving it and yet we have a document that says let's |
| 07:38PM 15 | So the intent is to preserve that | 07:40Рм 15 | keep it here? |
| 07:38Рм 16 | designation by relocating -- | 07:40Рм 16 | A. We have a document that makes several |
| 07:38Pм 17 | Q. Were you here last month? | 07:40Рм 17 | recommendations about historic preservation. |
| 07:38Рм 18 | A. I was. | 07:40Рм 18 | I think what I'm suggesting to you and |
| 07:38Рм 19 | Q. Did you hear Mr. Adriance testify? | 07:40Рм 19 | the board is that a compromise has been struck that |
| 07:38PM 20 | A. I did not. | 07:40Рм 20 | meets the intent and the spirit of that |
| 07:38PM 21 | I stepped out. | 07:40Рм 21 | recommendation. |
| 07:388м 22 | Q. Oh, okay. | 07:40Рм 22 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:38Pм 23 | Will the relocation of the building | 07:40Рм 23 | But they haven't amended -- this board |
| 07:38PM 24 | minus the foundation, you're going to -- the | 07:40Рм 24 | hasn't amended the Master Plan -- |
| 07:38PM 25 | foundation is going to be destroyed, correct? | 07:40Рм 25 | A. Not yet. |
|  | 26 |  | 28 |
| 07:38PM 1 | A. That I don't recall. | 07:40PM 1 | Q. -- to fix that? |
| 07:38PM 2 | Q. Okay. | 07:40PM 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Gerrit Haring didn't build his house | 07:40PM 3 | Q. Okay. |
| 4 | next to a fire station, did he? | 07:40Рм 4 | Is moving it undermining -- and when I |
| 5 | I mean, it's an -- it's an obvious | 07:40PM 5 | say "it," I mean, the Gerrit Haring House. |
| 6 | question. | 6 | Does it undermine the manner in which |
| 7 | A. Right. | 7 | this area was settled? |
| 8 | Q. That's -- that's a no? | 8 | A. Does it undermine the manner in |
| 9 | A. Right. | 9 | which... |
| 10 | Q. Okay. | 10 | Q. I mean, Gerrit Haring put his house in |
| 07:39PM 11 | How does moving the house, not the | 07:40Рм 11 | a specific location, not across the street, not in |
| 07:39Pm 12 | foundation, to a new location that's shared with the | 07:40Рм 12 | Hackensack, not in any other place, it was in this |
| 07:39PM 13 | fire department building, preserve the character and | 07:40Рм 13 | place? |
| 07:39Pm 14 | sense of place of the structure? | 07:40Рм 14 | A. Correct. |
| 07:39Pм 15 | A. I would defer to a historic | 07:40Рм 15 | Again, not as a historic |
| 07:39Pm 16 | preservationist on that. | 07:40Рм 16 | preservationist, but as a planner I would suggest, |
| 07:39Pм 17 | Q. Is that person going to testify? | 07:40Рм 17 | no -- |
| 07:39Рм 18 | A. Not that I know of, no. | 07:40Рм 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:39pm 19 | Q. Okay. | 07:40Рм 19 | A. -- it does not. |
| 07:39Pм 20 | A. But I didn't provide any testimony on | 07:40Рм 20 | Q. And I guess you're saying at the same |
| 07:39Рм 21 | historic preservation. | 07:40Рм 21 | time the Borough is getting something valuable in |
| 07:39Pм 22 | Q. I understand, but I want to understand | 07:41PM 22 | exchange for what's going on -- |
| 07:39PM 23 | the basis of your opinion that you're not going to | 07:41PM 23 | A. That is correct. |
| 07:39PM 24 | impair the intent and purposes of the Zone Plan and | 07:41PM 24 | Q. For this variance -- |
| 07:39Pм 25 | Zoning Ordinance when the Master Plan says, let's | 07:41PM 25 | A. That is correct. |


|  | 29 |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:41PM 1 | Q. -- and the approval? Okay. | 07:42PM 1 | instances where they are -- there's an interplay |
| 07:41PM 2 | If the Borough lost its ability to | 07:42PM 2 | between -- |
| 07:41PM 3 | secure historic preservation grants because this | 07:42PM 3 | Q. Sure? |
| 07:41PM 4 | house is no longer on the historic register, which is | 07:42PM 4 | A. -- D variances. |
| 5 | what the historic preservation expert who testified | 07:42PM 5 | Q. Okay. So here you have the use |
| 6 | last month indicated, would that, in your opinion, | 07:43PM 6 | variance; you have a floor area ratio variance; and a |
| 7 | undermine the Master Plan's goal of preserving a | 07:43PM 7 | density variance. Is that -- do you agree with that? |
| 8 | historic structure and -- because the access to | 07:43PM 8 | A. Yeah, definitely the D-1 use variance. |
| 9 | federal and state dollars to do so is no longer | 07:43PM 9 | $I$ don't recall the density variance, but, yes, the |
| 10 | there? | 07:43PM 10 | D-6, I'm sorry. |
| 11 | A. Again, not as a historic | 07:43PM 11 | Q. D-6 is a height variance. |
| 07:41PM 12 | preservationist. I would submit as a planner, not | 07:43PM 12 | A. D-5, sorry. |
| 07:41PM 13 | necessarily. | 07:43PM 13 | Q. What about a D-4? |
| 07:41PM 14 | Q. Okay. | 07:43PM 14 | $\mathrm{D}-4$ is a floor area ratio? |
| 07:41PM 15 | Why not? | 07:43PM 15 | A. Yeah. |
| 07:41PM 16 | A. There are other sources of funding for | 07:43PM 16 | Q. So the maximum floor area -- there's a |
| 07:41PM 17 | preservation and the intent of this relocation would | 07:43PM 17 | floor area ratio in the zone. I believe it's a |
| 07:41PM 18 | be not only to relocate the structure, but to | 07:43PM 18 | sliding scale. The maximum you can do is 16 percent |
| 07:41PM 19 | relocate it in a manner that keeps it intact. | 07:43PM 19 | up to 8400 square feet, that's the absolute maximum |
| 07:41PM 20 | Q. What happens if it breaks? | 07:43PM 20 | you can do. |
| 07:41PM 21 | A. (No Response.) | 07:43PM 21 | Is that right? |
| 07:41PM 22 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. Can the | 07:43PM 22 | A. Give me one second. |
| 23 | transcript reflect that he doesn't have an answer. | 07:43PM 23 | So I 'll ask you to repeat the question, |
| 24 | Thank you. | 07:43PM 24 | but before you do, I will say that the application |
| 25 | BY MR. STEINHAGEN: | 07:43PM 25 | was amended to bring us into compliance, it was just |
|  | 30 |  | 32 |
| 1 | Q. I'm going to move on from historic | 07:43PM 1 | a D-1 use variance. |
| 2 | preservation. | 07:44PM 2 | Q. So your -- it's your opinion that the |
| 07:42PM 3 | You didn't talk a whole lot about the | 07:44PM 3 | Borough's Zoning Ordinance permits an |
| 07:42PM 4 | bulk variances and I understand that's because -- you | 07:44PM 4 | 81,000-square-foot building in the R-40 zone? |
| 07:42PM 5 | gave some testimony on the C variance, that's because | 07:44PM 5 | A. No. |
| 07:42PM 6 | it's your opinion that the other variances are | 07:44PM 6 | Q. Why not? |
| 07:42PM 7 | subsumed within the grant of the use variance. | 07:44PM 7 | A. Because that's not what the code |
| 07:42PM 8 | Is that correct? | 07:44PM 8 | requires. |
| 07:42PM 9 | A. In part, yes. | 07:44PM 9 | Q. Is there a floor area ratio limit in |
| 07:42PM 10 | Q. What do you mean in part? | 07:44PM 10 | the R-40 zone? |
| 07:42PM 11 | A. So my testimony was twofold. | 07:44PM 11 | A. Yes. |
| 07:42PM 12 | One, that there is general acceptance | 07:44PM 12 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:42PM 13 | that $C$ variances or bulk and area variances can be | 07:44PM 13 | Do you exceed the floor area ratio |
| 07:42PM 14 | subsumed under granting the D-1 use variance, for a | 07:44PM 14 | limit in the R-40 zone? |
| 07:42PM 15 | number of reasons. And I went through those reasons. | 07:44PM 15 | A. Wedo. |
| 07:42PM 16 | Q. I -- and I -- | 07:44PM 16 | Q. Okay. So you need a D-4 variance -- |
| 07:42PM 17 | A. But then I went through each of the | 07:44PM 17 | A. Okay. |
| 07:42PM 18 | bulk variances separately and gave the proofs for | 07:44PM 18 | Q. $\quad-$ is that a fair assessment? |
| 07:42PM 19 | each. | 07:44PM 19 | A. That is. |
| 07:42PM 20 | Q. What about other D variances? | 07:44PM 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:42PM 21 | Are other D variances subsumed where | 07:44PM 21 | Are there any other buildings in the |
| 07:42PM 22 | you have to have special reasons? | 07:44PM 22 | $\mathrm{R}-40$ zone that are in the range of 81,000 square |
| 07:42PM 23 | A. There is a treatise on land use written | 07:44PM 23 | feet? |
| 07:42PM 24 | by Cox or what we often refer to as Cox. | 07:44PM 24 | A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 07:42PM 25 | They're not subsumed, but there are | 07:44PM 25 | Q. So it's not consistent with the |




|  | 41 |  | 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:51PM 1 | Q. Okay. Last set of questions. | 07:53PM 1 | astronomical to be looking at this with a density |
| 07:51PM 2 | Are you aware that the Borough entered | 07:53PM 2 | calculation of the numbers that Mr. Steinhagen was |
| 07:51PM 3 | into an affordable housing settlement agreement? | 07:53PM 3 | proposing, do you see that the same as the definition |
| 07:51PM 4 | A. Yes. | 07:53PM 4 | of a dwelling unit that you deal with as a |
| 07:51PM 5 | Q. Did you review that settlement | 07:53PM 5 | professional planner? |
| 07:51PM 6 | agreement? | 07:53PM 6 | A. No, they're -- they're different |
| 07:51PM 7 | A. No. | 07:53PM 7 | animals and I tried to answer that question a bit |
| 07:51PM 8 | Q. Okay. | 07:53PM 8 | clearer, but, yes. They are different. The use |
| 07:51PM 9 | Were you aware that the Borough | 07:53PM 9 | that's being proposed for assisted living, very |
| 07:51PM 10 | obtained a vacant land adjustment? | 07:53PM 10 | different than the typical or traditional |
| 07:51PM 11 | A. No. | 07:53PM 11 | single-family townhouses. |
| 07:51PM 12 | Q. Okay. | 07:53PM 12 | Q. And this, in fact, is defined as beds, |
| 07:51PM 13 | So you have no knowledge of whether or | 07:53PM 13 | bed count -- |
| 07:51PM 14 | not it has a real estate development potential, an | 07:53Рм 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 07:51PM 15 | unmet need? | 07:53PM 15 | Q. -- correct? |
| 07:51PM 16 | What's -- what's the affordable housing | 07:53PM 16 | A. That is very true. |
| 07:51PM 17 | set-aside for this project? | 07:53Pм 17 | Q. And our letter that you referred to as |
| 07:51PM 18 | A. Ten. | 07:53PM 18 | our certificate of need from the New Jersey |
| 07:51PM 19 | Q. Okay. | 07:53PM 19 | Department of Health approves the certificate by way |
| 07:51PM 20 | If I told you that the Borough has a | 07:53Рм 20 | of that bed count and unit count, correct? |
| 07:51PM 21 | mandatory set-aside ordinance that requires at least | 07:53Pм 21 | A. As opposed to dwelling units, that's |
| 07:51PM 22 | 15 percent, not 10 percent, would that surprise you? | 07:53PM 22 | correct. |
| 07:51PM 23 | A. Yes. | 07:53PM 23 | Q. Okay. |
| 07:51PM 24 | Q. Okay. | 07:53Pм 24 | And with regard to the size of the |
| 077.52PM 25 | Are you seeking a variance from this | 07:54PM 25 | property, again, the questions that were asked of you |
| 42 |  |  | 44 |
| 07:52PM 1 | condition? | 07:54PM 1 | regarding minimum square footage, that was all for |
| 077.52PM 2 | A. No. | 07:54PM 2 | e R-40, it wasn't looking at our 5-and-a-half-acre |
| 077.52PM 3 | Q. Okay. Is there any reason why you | 07:54PM 3 | site, correct? |
| 077.52PM 4 | can't comply with a 15 percent set-aside? | 07:54PM 4 | A. That is very correct |
| 077.52PM 5 | A. Be a question more for the operator | 07:54PM 5 | Q. So those code provisions that are |
| 07:52PM 6 | than myself. | 07:54PM 6 | provided in the Old Tappan Zoning Code under R-40 |
| 07:52PM 7 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. | 07:54PM 7 | when it lists all the different setbacks, building |
| 07:52PM 8 | That's all I have, Chairman. | 07:54PM 8 | coverage, each of the bulk regulations, those are |
| 07:52PM 9 | Thank you for your time. | 07:54PM 9 | listed with regard to a 40,000-square-foot lot, |
| 077.52PM 10 | MS. PRICE: I just have a couple, | 07:54PM 10 | correct? |
| 077.52PM 11 | George, if you don't mind | 07:54PM 11 | A. Correct, which is why in the planning |
| 077.52PM 12 | THE WITNESS: No. | 07:54PM 12 | testimony you often hear planners say that those |
| 07:52PM 13 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 07:54PM 13 | would be subsumed under the grant of the $\mathrm{D}-1$, because |
| 077.52PM 14 | BY MS. PRICE: | 07:54PM 14 | they don't really fit with what's being proposed. |
| 07:52PM 15 | Q. Mr. Williams, the questions from | 07:54PM 15 | Q. And, in fact, in this case one of the |
| 077.52PM 16 | Mr. Steinhagen dealing with units, the code talks | 07:54PM 16 | items that we were being asked to look at was the |
| 077.52PM 17 | about dwelling units in terms of a house or an | 07:55PM 17 | number of loading spaces, which, in fact, in the R-40 |
| 077.52PM 18 | apartment, a townhouse? | 07:55PM 18 | wouldn't even be talking about loading? |
| 077.52PM 19 | Some -- | 07:55PM 19 | A. That's correct. |
| 077.52PM 20 | A. That's correct. | 07:55PM 20 | Q. So we were being asked to look at |
| 077.52PM 21 | Q. We're not dealing with that type of | 07:55PM 21 | things two different ways depending on which bulk |
| 07:52PM 22 | living arrangement in this case, correct? | 07:55PM 22 | regulation was being called into question, correct? |
| 07:52PM 23 | A. Very true. | 07:55PM 23 | A. That is correct. |
| 077.52PM 24 | Q. So when there was an attempt to somehow | 07:55PM 24 | Q. And in terms of the historic structure, |
| 07:52PM 25 | categorize and make it seem like this was |  | and I know you're not a historic expert, but there's |




## in Closter.

MR. REGAN: Not in connection with this
project?
don't mean Old Tappan, because there is another community -- there is another --
application for a residential building with more than
six units per acre that requires a use variance, which is what we're here for tonight -- we heard that this is 18 units an acre and it's a use variance -requires a minimum set-aside of 15 percent.

Frankly that's not even correct under the COAH regulations which require a 20 -percent set-aside.

MR. REGAN: If it's a sale.
MR. STEINHAGEN: No, it's if you have a vacant land adjustment. It absolutely is true and I'm happy to give you the citation later because I litigated that issue in the Englewood Cliff's case.

I think that the applicant's position is wrong. I think that there is a chance that this project does not get affordable housing credit.

They'll get credit for Medicaid units under their certificate of need, but you may not get any affordable housing credits and Fair Share Housing Center may come after you.

MR. REGAN: But you've had the opportunity to speak to Fair Share, I assume, about that issue.

MR. STEINHAGEN: I've talked to them about this issue in connection with another project
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regional surplus?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Correct. I don't -we don't know that.

MR. REGAN: Do you have any evidence of
any --

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no, no. I don't
have -- Mr. Regan, I'm sorry, I'm giving a summation.

I don't have the burden --
MR. REGAN: I'm just asking you the
question.
MR. STEINHAGEN: No.
MR. REGAN: If you don't want to answer the question --

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no. I don't have
the burden of demonstrating the extent of the need. That's the applicant's burden. The applicant hasn't done it.

And the board can make a decision as it wants, but they have the burden of proof here, not -not any other interested party.

The applicant also has the burden of demonstrating special reasons for the other two D variances. It didn't do it. It didn't -- it
didn't demonstrate, at least under the test, whether or not it's applicable, I don't know, but they have a density variance. They have a floor area ratio variance. And the building, whether it's for assisted living, memory care, apartments, it's ten times too big for this lot based upon the Borough's Zoning Ordinance.

And if we divided it up into five lots, because that was what the Borough's Zoning Ordinance would theoretically allow, if they could fit it, it's still too big by a factor of two. You can have an 8,400-square-foot building on a 40,000-square-foot lot. They might get five lots, they might get six. But they're not getting up to 80,000 square feet of building. And they're certainly not getting up to 81,000 square feet of three-story building with three rows of windows with a long façade.

And the same thing is true about the density.

This applicant is turning the proverbial hose on onto my client's property.

Yes, they are reducing the water in accordance with the DEP regulations, in accordance with the Borough's stormwater management ordinance.

But you heard your own engineer, who's
township.
.
not here tonight and I hope he gets better soon, he said, I have concerns about what this applicant is doing. They do not have our consent to change the way that water drains and turn on a hose and dump the water onto our property.

I think that they have not satisfied their burden of proof here. We would ask that the board deny the application.

I want to thank you, again, for your attention this evening. I appreciate it very much.

Thank you.
(Applause.)
MS. PRICE: I have a bunch of things, so just give me one second.

So, just on Mr. Steinhagen's first point and his reference to the property in Mahwah, that development is an independent living development with an assisted component, but it is not the development that we have here before this board tonight. And I have handled numerous assisted-living applications and have never ever been asked for more than a 10 percent --

MR. REGAN: Didn't you do the Artis application --

MS. PRICE: Yes.
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MR. REGAN: -- a few years ago?
MS. PRICE: Yes, the Artis application in this very Borough.

MR. REGAN: That was the same thing, 10 percent?

MS. PRICE: Correct, 10 percent.
And that is compliant with all
regulations and Fair Share has never come knocking on my door saying you need to do more.

They didn't do it in Norwood.
They didn't do it in Washington

And they haven't done it in other
locations around the state where I have appeared in connection with these applications.

MS. HAVERILLA: Why was -- is there a difference between the Medicare set-aside and the Fair Share Housing set-aside?

MS. PRICE: No, the Fair Share just
happens to be the party, you know, who was around the
whole state --
MS. HAVERILLA: All right. So we would

MS. PRICE: -- seeking to get more and

MS. HAVERILLA: -- would still get the credits, the ten credits --

MS. PRICE: Yes.
MS. HAVERILLA: -- for that?
MS. PRICE: Every -- every borough that
I've appeared in and have given the 10 percent on
behalf of the client or the client has given the ten units, all of those municipalities have always gotten credit in their affordable housing plans. None of the plans have ever been negatively impacted and I can state that unequivocally.

So with that said, I also want to thank the board and its professionals. It's been a long road since December of ' 21 is when we filed this application, and it has gone through several different looks, because every time the board asked me to take something back to our client, our client looked at it, had the team work it up and the client came back and said okay.

I have a list of 21 conditions that our client has agreed to over the course of this application and never, ever during the course has our client said no to anything that this board has asked for, whether it has involved your ambulance service, whether it has involved improving drainage for a
homeowner on Holbrook, despite the fact that the application had nothing to do with that.

Whether it was a matter of addressing Mr. Skrable's concern about the extra lane on Old Tappan Road, had nothing to do with this application, we agreed to it, to enhance the public safety on Old Tappan Road.

We agreed to look at the schedule shift changes because of the concerns for the school.

We agreed to be cognizant of the construction hours along those same lines because of the school and the concern for safety of children.

We responded to all of the requests
from the fire department. We redesigned the building to make sure that there was full circular access with the pervious pavement to assist the trucks.

And we did various other revisions that the fire department asked us to take into consideration.

We addressed the parking spaces for electric vehicles. We said we'd take more comments under consideration, if the board wanted us, to either make-ready additional spaces or address them somehow else.

We agreed to the Bi -Fuel generator that
we were asked to look at and come back with and to respond to the concerns that have arisen on other -you know, other locations whenever we've had these weather emergencies that shut down power, especially, in consideration for our type of use.

We spent a lot of time investigating that, and we came back with that type of generator that you asked us to look for.

We made modifications to the elevator to ensure that we had the proper sizing for EMS to adequately, you know, be able to address the situation with a patient.

We've addressed the historic home in a couple of different ways. At one point we were keeping the historic home on the property, and next we were moving it across the street. Last count, we were keeping it on the property.

But in any event, we are donating it to the Borough for the Borough's use, and providing a foundation for that historic home, and the two acres plus of environmentally-constrained property.

You don't get a lot of applications where the Borough gets the benefit of that type of beneficial and positive consideration from an applicant.

There's been a lot of concern from the public about wetlands and vernal habitat and how to protect that area.

The area is going to rest with the Borough. We won't have any rights to do anything with that acreage, because it will be the Borough's.

And although we only had to do a 50-foot buffer, we've provided an additional 10 -foot buffer on the wetlands. So we've done a 60-foot buffer.

DEP in their Letter of Interpretation said we were intermediate value, so 50 feet. And DEP did a site visit. It wasn't just based upon an application that was mailed in. They came out to the site and did a walk-through.

And they said, yes, in fact, that there is a vernal habitat, but the only time that that was relevant would be if we were applying for any permits, which we're not.

That LOI is part of this record. And that LOI is uncontradicted, in any respect, by any expert testimony. We're not applying for any additional permits. The LOI is in full force and effect to allow the construction of this project.

Our expert testimony and our factual
testimony by Mr. McElwee is also uncontradicted.
There's nothing documentary in document format, in
this record, that can contradict or that does
contradict any of the testimony or examination of the written word of Mr. McElwee, our civil engineer, our architect, our traffic engineer, our landscape architect or our professional planner.

This case clearly, as you all know, wasn't done in a couple of hours. A lot of thought, a lot of expense and a lot of redesign was put into this.

Our use is an inherently beneficial use. And I'm sure you will hear from Mr. Szabo and Mr. Regan about what that means, but it's important for me to say the need is not what's at stake under the Sica test. There are four elements that you heard Mr. Williams testify to.

The first is the designation of the public interest at stake. And the assisted living and memory care needs of our -- I can't even know that I'm saying this sitting here at my age now --
(Laughter.)
MR. REGAN: Senior citizens.
MS. PRICE: I know, that's the public interest at stake here.

You know, our seniors, me being one, that's the public interest. And that is recognized, specifically, in the Municipal Land Use Law.

Senior citizen housing, and it's not qualified, it doesn't say senior citizen housing that cannot be assisted living or that cannot be memory care. It's a global use. That's one of the areas in law that's provided as that public interest.

The second public -- the second prong to it which I think that we also had no problem -let me just globally say, we had no problem with any of the four prongs under the Sica test.

The second was: Are there any
detrimental effects. The third: Are we able to reduce any effect by the imposition of reasonable conditions. And then the weighing of the positive and the negative.

I've already talked about the conditions, 21 of them. And this board is capable of coming up with more reasonable conditions over and above the 21 that I have listed if, in fact, there are more issues that need to be looked at and for this applicant to handle during a resolution compliance timeframe.

This is an oversized piece of property.

The drainage right now is an existing condition that flows overland. We are reducing that drainage. We're not increasing it. We're reducing it. We're slowing the flow, the time and we're reducing the amount. And we are not violating a single DEP or local regulation. That's very significant for when this board acts.

The board cannot overstep on governing regulations in that or any other field, and if we were violating a regulation, I certainly would have had to address that with our engineer and have him explain to you what we were violating and why. And you can bet -- I never know whether it's donuts to dollars or dollars to donuts -- but you can bet that we would have heard specific testimony about those regulations that we were violating because we heard a lot during this case. And there are no regulations being violated. So the drainage situation, we have totally improved.

We tried to address things with the church next door. I don't know how many times we tried to set up an appointment with the church and we were met with closed doors one, two, three, no access. We wanted to get on the site. We had offered to get a camera investigation to see if they
were having a problem with their line, unable to do it. And that was outside of the design. That was another thing that we were doing outside of our property and outside of our application, but all to be a good neighbor and to see if there was any kind of a problem that needed to be addressed.

We do not need a height variance in the true sense of the word. We need a variance to go from two-and-a-half stories to three, but we're compliant with the measurement of height, which is what the visual is. We're not asking to go to 50 feet, 60 feet. It's a difference between, with a half story.

And this board asked us again, go back out onto Old Tappan Road and tell us what the situation looks like with your site and the church site, because is there going to be a big problem? Is it going to look like you're over shadowing the church?

So we did it. And Mr. Sehnal
testified. And guess what? We're actually going to be lower than the church.

So that exercise demonstrated that our proposed plan had no negative impact on that visual component.

The same situation with the church with regard to the side yard, you'll remember. You asked whether we can increase the side yard. We looked. We made it bigger.

At the same time we were doing that we looked to see the existing side yard on the church, and it turns out that they have less of a setback than what we were proposing. And the entire area of the church -- on the church's side is a driveway and their air conditioning units.

But one of the questions came up and, again, I was asked to take back to the client, can you move the generator, because the generator's located on that side. And we don't want any noise issues. Can you schedule the testing time for the generator to be totally not near any of the church services? And can you mitigate the number of times the generator needs to be tested?

We agreed to all of that. Another condition that could be contained in an approval by the board.

It's just one item after another that we have tried to demonstrate how CSH can become a very productive, very beneficial resident of Old Tappan.
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Everybody likes quiet, trees-lined properties. And we've heard on several nights that people like to walk by the property in its current condition.

Well, there's a bunch of properties in Ridgewood that I like to look at too, but I would never, ever think that I had the ability to say to a property owner, you can't do anything with your property because I like the way it looks, which is basically what some people are saying here.

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: No, no, no. FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's not true. FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Not true. (Audience Outburst.)
MS. PRICE: Certain people said they like the way that the property exists today and they want it to remain in a park-like setting.

And I submit that although the project needs some variance relief, the bulk regulations, by law, under the Price vs. Himeji case that Mr. Williams cited, are subsumed within the D variance, which is the inherently beneficial use of the assisted living and memory care use.

So while we tried to minimize the number of those variances. And we were able to do

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

And what does that mean? What does it mean? Inherently beneficial means a lot of things to a lot of people, but in this proceeding what we care about is what does the law say? And when you look at the land use law, New Jersey Statutes annotated 40:55D-4, it actually defines what an inherently beneficial use is.

An inherently beneficial use means a use which is universally considered of value to the community because it fundamentally serves the public good and promotes the general welfare. Such a use includes, but is not limited to, a hospital, a school, childcare center, group home or a wind, solar or photovoltaic energy facility or structure.

So having classified assisted-living
facilities, senior assisted-living facilities as inherently beneficial, it falls within this global category.

Well, what does -- what does that mean in terms of the application? Well, it means that it's given special status. It's the simplest way to put it, that, you know, the applicant doesn't have to demonstrate things that you normally would require under a D-1 variance, which would mean special reasons, particular suitability and the enhanced
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burden under the Medici case.
And the point here is, it gets a
special status, a special review.
Now, does that mean that we approve every inherently beneficial use that comes across the board's dais? No.

So then what does the board do? How does it evaluate this type of application that's inherently beneficial? What are the standards?

Well, fortunately because the board is acting in a quasi-judicial function, and because we have case law, we have guidance from the courts on how we are supposed to approach the review of this type of application. And that would be the Sica case that you've heard mentioned and testified to by the applicant's planner, Mr. Williams.

And the applicant is required to go through a four-prong analysis, present that to the board, and the board has to then evaluate that analysis and reconcile it to determine whether or not the detriments outweigh the benefits and whether or not the negative criteria can be addressed with reasonable conditions. And that's important.

So let's -- let's -- let's break it

19 of 52 sheets
heard a great deal of testimony about demographics and aging of -- of our population. And that has been provided on the record by the principal and also by the planner. And in my own experience, and I think we all know, that we're again as a society. I'm getting older. I'm now a senior. I didn't think I'd get there, but I did.

I'm not -- I don't want sympathy, but I may end up in one of these places.

But the bottom line is that we are living longer and we have growing numbers of seniors entering a period of time when they are required specialized care.

There's a reason why residents of these type of facilities are in their 80s. I'm going to be 64. And I'm feeling it now. I can't imagine what an 80 or 80 -plus-year-old at that point in their life where you just need specialized care. It doesn't mean that you're necessarily bedridden, could be, but that the normal functions of everyday living become a burden. And we try to relieve that burden with respect to the services that these types of facilities provide.

So there's a public interest. It's not a market. It's not only specific to this town. The
$\qquad$ there are always certain impacts associated with development from -- whether it's a permitted use or not, there's always going to be an impact from development. And we talked a lot about what those are.

The discussions and concerns are centered on drainage, the loss of open space, tree coverage, traffic and the historic structure.

Let's start with drainage, even though that's an in engineering issue. There's been a tremendous amount of testimony presented on drainage. I defer to the engineers that are licensed to do drainage work. Mr. Skrable, I have great confidence in his ability to review this to make sure that the drainage will be addressed.
land use law and planning, we do need to consider sometimes the community being more expansive. People move in. They move out. The need is still there, that's the interest.

So that -- that was clarified by the applicant, provided to the board.

We have to identify -- the applicant has to identify, the board needs to identify the detrimental effects that ensue from the grant of the variance, recognizing, and this is important, that drainage will be addressed.
$\qquad$

|  | 77 |  | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:37PM 1 | But also remember that this is on a | 08:39PM 1 | (Audience Outburst.) |
| 08:37PM 2 | county road, the county is going to get involved in | 08:39PM 2 | MR. SZABO: However, maybe not to the |
| 08:37PM 3 | some of that drainage also because they have | 08:39PM 3 | degree of the type of development. |
| 08:37PM 4 | jurisdiction over drainage and right-of-ways within | 08:39PM 4 | But, again, to expect there will be no |
| 08:37PM 5 | the county, if it's a county road. So you've go | 08:39PM 5 | consequences or any kind of impact from any |
| 08:37PM 6 | many eyes looking at this. | 08:39PM 6 | development on that property I think is unrealistic. |
| 08:37PM 7 | You're -- I understand there's a | 08:39PM 7 | And -- and, furthermore, the Borough has opted not to |
| 08:37PM 8 | controversy between adjoining property owners over | 08:39PM 8 | purchase the property, either for historic |
| 08:37PM 9 | who has the right to do what. I think we discussed | 08:39PM 9 | preservation purposes or open space, despite the |
| 08:37PM 10 | that very early on in the process. And it was | 08:39PM 10 | Master Plan's recommendation. |
| 08:37PM 11 | suggested, well, you know what that -- that really is | 08:39PM 11 | And I'll get into that in a moment. |
| 08:37pm 12 | a legal issue in many respects | 08:39PM 12 | And that's a policy decision made by |
| 08:37PM 13 | I'm not prepared to comment on that, | 08:39PM 13 | the Borough. And that's -- that's reality |
| 08:37pm 14 | but I do know that drainage issues are things that | 08:39Pм 14 | Traffic, the applicant has provided |
| 08:37Pм 15 | can get resolved through engineering practices | 08:39рм 15 | traffic testimony to indicate that the traffic |
| 08:37PM 16 | And it's just -- I had a -- I have many | 08:39Pм 16 | impacts to Old Tappan Road would be minimal. |
| 08:37PM 17 | good friends that are engineers and, basically, they | 08:39PM 17 | I provided -- I -- there's been no |
| 08:37PM 18 | tell me with enough money you can solve pretty much | 08:39рм 18 | contradictory testimony otherwise. And I would point |
| 08:37PM 19 | any engineering | 08:39Рм 19 | out that when issues were raised regarding the access |
| 08:37PM 20 | But, again, the -- the reliance of our | 08:40РM 20 | and the widening of that road, the applicant is |
| 08:38PM 21 | own professionals, the county and the applicant's | 08:40PM 21 | actually improving the situation in that regard. |
| 08:38PM 22 | professionals to ensure that, you know, these types | 08:40Рм 22 | The historic structure is proposed to |
| 08:38PM 23 | of issues are addressed | 08:40РM 23 | be relocated. There's been discussions about whether |
| 08:38PM 24 | nember, | 08:40РМ 24 | not it loses historic status or not |
| 08:38PM 25 | numerous times, there are -- there are all kinds of | 08:40РМ 25 | I'm not a historic preservation expert |
|  | 78 |  | 80 |
| 08:38PM 1 | regulations that protect wetlands, floodplain, | 08:40PM 1 | either. However, I do know one important fact. |
| 08:38PM 2 | drainage requirements, which are constantly being | 08:40PM 2 | Nothing is protecting that house now |
| 08:38PM 3 | updated and upgraded to meet modern needs | 08:40PM 3 | The applicant can -- any developer who |
| 08:38PM 4 | standards. | 08:40PM 4 | wns that property or this developer can knock that |
| 08:38PM 5 | In fact, recently the NJ DEP issued | 08:40PM 5 | house down tomorrow. And the legacy would be lost |
| 08:38PM 6 | orders to update, all municipalities to update their | 08:40PM 6 | forever. |
| 08:38PM 7 | stormwater management standards and requirements and | 08:40PM 7 | Now, sometimes when faced with those |
| 08:38PM 8 | everybody had to do that as | 08:40PM 8 | kinds of choices by a municipality or the government, |
| 08:38PM 9 | So the town of Old Tappan, the Borough, | 08:40PM 9 | you got to -- you have to pick. You have to decide |
| 08:38PM 10 | has done that. | 08:40Рм 10 | what is the best way to preserve what we can and |
| 08:38PM 11 | Loss of open space and tree cover | 08:40PM 11 | salvage what we can. |
| 08:38PM 12 | That -- that is alwa | 08:40PM 12 | And the decision was, we'll accept the |
| 08:38PM 13 | development. I'm a strong advocate for preservation | 08:40PM 13 | donation. If you recall, originally the applicant |
| 08:38PM 14 | of open space and tree cover and fauna and flora and | 08:40Рм 14 | was willing to subdivide the property and donate it |
| 08:38PM 15 | all of that. | 08:40Рм 15 | to the Borough and leave it on its own tract. |
| 08:38Рм 16 | However, you can't ignore the fact that | 08:40Рм 16 | But through the discussions with the |
| 08:38Pм 17 | there are property rights to the developer associated | 08:41PM 17 | board and the municipality, it has evolved to a |
| 08:39PM 18 | with this property. So if a single-family develope | 08:41PM 18 | different solution. And it can go either way. |
| 08:39PM 19 | came in for a five or six lot subdivision, there | 08:41PM 19 | However, I want to emphasize, nothing |
| 08:39PM 20 | would be a lot of disturbance, tree removal and | 08:41PM 20 | is protecting that historic structure today. And if |
| 08:39Pм 21 | probably I suspect we'll be having the sam | 08:41PM 21 | the Borough feels that it can get some good use out |
| 08:39PM 22 | conversation with that application we are having with | 08:41PM 22 | it on another location nearby, that's a policy |
| 08:39рм 23 | this one. | 08:41PM 23 | decision on the part of the Borough |
| 08:39PM 24 | FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: We don't know | 08:41PM 24 | Ambulance and emergency service impacts |
| 08:39Pм 25 |  |  | have been discussed and -- and this comes up quite |

often in the municipalities I represent with these
type of facilities and the applicant's agreed private ambulance corps. We'll have an emergency management and he will do the types of things that we feel would relieve the Borough of the burden of having to allocate scarce resources to emergency services connected to this use.

So they're trying to address the impacts as best they can and that was offered.

The board can impose reasonable conditions, that's part of the Sica balance. And a lot of those conditions are already embodied, in my opinion, in the -- the conditions that have been enumerated that they've agreed to already, which should be enumerated in any resolution of approval should the board be so inclined. You have the ability to put a reasonable condition on the use.

I think the bottom line for me in my experience with these, and I've handled, and I've seen many applications like this, is that it's very difficult -- and it's not just assisted living, but any inherently beneficial use, it's very difficult to deny these things under circumstances where a lot of the issues are being addressed.

I have a recent case just couple of
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weeks ago in another jurisdiction I represent, where the board of adjustment denied a use variance for this use. And the judge took two minutes to overturn the decision, remanded it back to the board for conditions only and specifically told the board you cannot touch the density.

So it -- I'm not saying it's
impossible. There could be issues that are raised, but you have to satisfy the negative criteria.
That's the one thing you can hang your hat on.
And that's where the board needs to reconcile everything that they've heard against the negative criteria and can you balance that against reasonable conditions.

But I will tell you that it's a tough row to hoe in front of a court. And if you're -- and if you're going to deny, you're going to need very, very clear and specific reasons and you hope they hold up.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, very specific reasons and what?

MR. SZABO: Very specific reasons why you would deny an inherently beneficial use. Any inherent use. I mean you need a good reason.

It's not a blanket approval that you
could expect when you walk in to a board expecting approval just because you're inherently beneficial.

But we're here a year talking about all
the aspects of this application. And so all of that is part of the record that the board can weigh, and what has been agreed to, what remains outstanding and what needs to still be addressed.

There had been comments about the
Master Plan. I recognize that. I prepared the 2016 Reexamination Report, periodic reexamination report on behalf of the board.

It is -- yes, it is true that the prior plans did identify this property for preservation, it did say open space is important to the -- to the Borough and these are important comments and policy statements to makes in a document.

The Master Plan documents also talk about providing for senior care, senior housing, both market and affordable. I think it's -- it's worth reading the policy statement.

The Borough seeks to encourage the creation of both market-rate and affordable senior housing. And the policy statement is the baby boom generation continues to age. Old Tappan has witnessed the aging of its population. And there's a
general lack of housing design to service the unique needs of older residents.

So what -- what does that mean? I mean, the Master Plan by, itself is not law. I mean, that's -- that's part of the -- the -- I think the public confusion about what is a Master Plan.

The goals and policies of a Master Plan are an expression of a community's vision and desires intended to guide community decision-making, right? These are mostly aspirational. They're goals. They're policies. This is that what we want. This is what we're going to direct.

But it is not unusual for plans to have a multiplicity of goals. You have more than one goal and you have to weigh what's important.

And in this case the Borough's weighed the idea that, well, maybe we don't want to purchase this property right now for open space. We want to divert our resources elsewhere.

And -- and so you have to reconcile all of those and it -- it doesn't mean that it's not important, it just means that you have to balance what your current needs are when you consider these matters.

But you have two goals, but one of

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


relocated to the site designated by the Borough at the applicant's expense.

And, again, I think it's undisputed that someone could go in there tomorrow, pull a permit for demolition and knock that house down.

So to deny this application, the detriment must be substantial. And I think you'd have a hard time demonstrating to a court that the detriment will be substantial in this application.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you.
I'm going to ask each board member to
ask a question and if they do, let's not be repetitive as to what we already heard.

Okay. Do you have anything, Charlie?
MR. MAGGIO: Yeah.
Actually I have a couple of questions. I think they've been really answered by -- by John and Bob, but I just wanted to make sure I completely understood what the impact will be.

Before I did that, I did want to make a
couple of -- a couple of minor statements.
You know, I work in the healthcare design and construction industry, so I have some

98
knowledge of this stuff.
We've used the term "beds" a lot tonight and over the last eleven months. And -- and in the industry they're not considered beds, they're considered residents. And there's a reason for that. The reason for that is these are people, they're not furniture. And so we have to keep that in mind when we're developing senior housing of any type including assisted living, that these are residents, that's number one.

Number two, there was the comment made
about certificate of need and having submitted many certificates of need to the state in my career, I can tell you that the state is supposed to, I don't know if they do or not, because I don't know what goes on behind closed doors, what goes behind the curtain, but the state is supposed to look at the need and the requirement. They look at demographics. They look at the amount of residents, not beds in the community. And they -- and they evaluate that. And that's part of their decision when they make this.

The same way they look at hospital beds. The same way they look at MRIs. The same way they look at urgent care centers, they're supposed to look at that as well. So I just wanted to clarify a couple of things that were bugging me tonight that came up.

Two questions I have, I think you -you answered them, but I really want to be extra clear, because the audience, the crowd needs to hear it clearly.

So if this application is denied, will
the courts perceive -- I'm writing this down, so I'm reading my notes -- will the courts perceive an assisted-living facility or any other senior housing as an inherently beneficial use?

MR. REGAN: In my opinion, yes, no question about it.

## MR. MAGGIO: Okay.

And then the second, and I think John
answered this pretty clearly, the alternative is
either now or some time in the next two-and-a-half years, before the fourth round comes along, we have an exposure that could be up to 60 to 90 units --

MR. REGAN: Yes, you're right.
MR. MAGGIO: And 60 to 90 units, even
if you were on the small end of 60 units, it's going
to be a lot more than 81,000 square feet.
Is that safe to say?
MR. SZABO: I can't speculate on the
size and massing of the building for that number of units, but, you know, it's not going to be a
single-story --
MR. MAGGIO: Right.
MR. ELLER: A lot more parking spots for the building.

MR. MAGGIO: Right, and then that's --
that's my concern. My concern is what are we going to end up with as a result of that.

I have other comments about -- about the presentation that was made. I'll save it for when I cast my vote.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: I have one question
regarding the moving of the house.
Has that been agreed to with the
Borough of Old Tappan?
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: We can't hear you.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
(Audience Outburst.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: The moving of the
old house across the street, has that been agreed with the Borough of Old Tappan?

MS. PRICE: We've put on the record
here that we --


|  | 105 |  | 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:04PM 1 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay. | 09:06PM 1 | come to or do we get outside counsel to represent the |
| 09:05PM 2 | I have no more questions. | 09:06PM 2 | board? |
| 09:05PM 3 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: What are your -- | 09:06PM 3 | MR. REGAN: That would have to be |
| 09:05PM 4 | what are your odds of winning before the superior | 09:06PM 4 | iscussed. |
| 09:05PM 5 | court in Hackensack? | 09:06PM 5 | The board would have the option to |
| 09:05PM 6 | How many cases have won? Zero. | 09:06PM 6 | discuss that. |
| 09:05PM 7 | Thank you. | 09:06PM 7 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: And then do you |
| 09:05PM 8 | MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speculation. | 09:06PM 8 | become someone that testifies? |
| 09:05PM 9 | MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Go ahead. | 09:06PM 9 | MR. REGAN: No, there's no testimony. |
| 09:05PM 10 | MR. SZABO: Mr. Chairman, I think -- | 09:06PM 10 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: You don't |
| 09:05PM 11 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: That might be | 09:06PM 11 | testify. |
| 09:05PM 12 | under the current administration. | 09:06PM 12 | MR. REGAN: There's no testimony at all |
| 09:05PM 13 | MR. SZABO: I think -- I think the | 09:06PM 13 | by anybody. |
| 09:05PM 14 | point that needs to be made is that you can deny the | 09:06PM 14 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay. |
| 09:05PM 15 | application, just make sure that Mr. Regan has | 09:06PM 15 | And that's at -- that's at our |
| 09:05PM 16 | substantial reasons that outweigh everything that | 09:06PM 16 | taxpayers' expense? |
| 09:05PM 17 | you've heard tonight. | 09:06PM 17 | MR. SZABO: Correct. |
| 09:05PM 18 | MR. REGAN: Substantial reasons. | 09:06PM 18 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Our board -- |
| 09:05PM 19 | MR. SZABO: Substantial is the word, | 09:06PM 19 | MR. REGAN: Yes. |
| 09:05PM 20 | right. | 09:06PM 20 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- being |
| 09:05PM 21 | It doesn't help to speculate whether we | 09:06PM 21 | defended by our town is an expense of our town? |
| 09:05PM 22 | go to court or not. | 09:06PM 22 | MR. REGAN: Right. |
| 09:05PM 23 | MR. REGAN: No. | 09:07PM 23 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You're up. |
| 09:05PM 24 | MR. SZABO: I think it's -- you need | 09:07PM 24 | MR. ALESSI: Okay. |
| 09:05PM 25 | make a decision based on the facts that have been | 09:07PM 25 | Been a resident of this town almost |
|  | 106 |  | 108 |
| 09:05PM 1 | presented and follow the Sica balancing and then | 09:07PM 1 | 60 years. Been a volunteer fireman for almost |
| 09:05PM 2 | state your reasons on the record. | 09:07PM 2 | 45 years. |
| 09:05PM 3 | MR. REGAN: Right. In the resolution. | 09:07PM 3 | Over the past year a lot of testimony |
| 09:05PM 4 | MR. SZABO: Correct. | 09:07PM 4 | about how many trees have been cutting down. |
| 09:05PM 5 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Mr. Szabo, how many | 09:07PM 5 | Now, the reason why I'm saying I've |
| 09:05PM 6 | cases that have gone to Hackensack have won? | 09:07PM 6 | been here for 60 years, I've been here before |
| 09:05PM 7 | MR. SZABO: In this town? | 09:07PM 7 | Lakeview, before the church, before Klein Corp., |
| 09:06PM 8 | HAIRMAN WEIDMANN: No, how many cases | 09:07PM 8 | before Sunden's, before Pearson. |
| 09:06PM 9 | in Bergen County on applications similar to this have | 09:07PM 9 | So we can start by saying, and we can |
| 09:06PM 10 | won? | 09:07pm 10 | discuss this forever, 200 trees are being cut down, |
| 09:06PM 11 | MR. REGAN: In a nursing home type of | 09:07pm 11 | 244 Old Tappan Road. How many trees were cut down |
| 09:06PM 12 | use? | 09:07PM 12 | for Lakeview? |
| 09:06PM 13 | HAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah. | 09:07PM 13 | I believe somebody said over 900 dump |
| 09:06PM 14 | MR. REGAN: The only one I'm familiar | 09:07PM 14 | trucks of dirt will be taken off 244 Old Tappan Road. |
| 09:06PM 15 | with is the Franklin Lakes case. That's a recorded | 09:07pm 15 | Where the church stands, there's a |
| 09:06PM 16 | decision. | 09:07PM 16 | ant mountain. I'm sure more than three times the |
| 09:06PM 17 | I just -- well, it was another jurisdiction -- | 09:07PM 17 | amount of dirt was taken off that property, plus the |
| 09:06PM 18 |  | 18 | trees, plus where we used to have the turkey shoot as |
| 09:06PM 19 | MR. REGAN: Another county. | 19 | kids. |
| 09:06PM 20 | MR. SZABO: It's another county. | 20 | Klein's Farm, we used to run across |
| 09:06PM 21 | MR. REGAN: But the same -- | 21 | before Old Man Klein would yell at you or throw rocks |
| 09:06PM 22 | MR. SZABO: Same outcome. Same | 22 | at you. |
| 09:06PM 23 | outcome. | 09:08PM 23 | How many trucks of dirt did they take |
| 09:06PM 24 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Mr. Regan, do we | 09:08Pм 24 | out of there? |
| 09:06PM 25 | -- do you defend the board's decision that we would | 09:08PM 25 | Like I said, we can discuss that |


|  |  | 109 |  | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:08PM |  | forever. | 09:10PM 1 | have tried to weigh the pros and cons of this |
| 09:08PM | 2 | But last meeting it was brought up | 09:10PM 2 | application. And, honestly, other than losing |
| 09:08PM |  | about being disrespectful | 09:10P | ractive wooded property, I do not see many oth |
| 09:08PM | 4 | Mr. Steinhagen just said before, unless | 09:10PM | than maybe the size being a bit large |
| 09:8PM |  | you sit up here, | 09:10PM | erty stay |
| 09:08PM | 6 | Let me tell you ab | 09:10PM 6 | hat we do |
| 09:08PM |  | Somebody out there misunderstood what happened at a | 09:10PM 7 |  |
| 09:08PM | 8 | meeting, called my ne | 09:10PM 8 | And for me this application is the |
| 09:8PM | 9 | constituted lies th | 09:10PM | any |
| 09:88PM |  | client | 09:10pm 10 | os, though, are many |
| 09:08PM 1 | 11 | Well, my neighbors and friends, and | 09:10PM 11 | No impact to our schools. No impact to |
| 09.08PM |  | some of them are here, you can ask them yourselves, | 09:10pm 12 | our EMS. No impact to the traffic on Old Tappa |
| 09 |  |  | 13 | Road. |
| 09:088 | $14$ | They went to the Mayor and Council and | 09:10PM 14 | at tax revenue source for the |
|  | $15$ | to try and get me removed | 15 | . COAH credits for future use. And a benefici |
|  | $16$ | That didn't work, they came to th | 09:10PM 16 | for our sen |
|  |  | board to try to get me removed, wanted get m | 09:10PM 17 | fact that the town will |
|  |  | arrested for taking bribes | 09:10PM 18 | e wetlands as open space and be able to |
|  | $19$ | So I ask a rhetorical question of | 19 | keep the historic house on Borough property, rather |
|  | $20$ | everybody in this room: What is more disrespectful, | 20 | an it deteriorating on someone else's property the |
|  |  | rolling your eyes or you guys clapping or having | 09:11PM 21 | y it has been for the last few year |
|  | 22 | somebody create lies about you that you have to | 09:11PM 22 | e of the issues everyone voiced was |
|  | $23$ | explain to your wife and your children that didn't | м 23 | so many trees are going to be cut down and the |
|  |  | happen. Because unless you're sitting up here, you | 24 | tlands destroy |
|  |  | don't know what's going on | 09:11PM 25 | Just to clarify some of the |
|  |  | 110 |  | 12 |
|  | 1 | So, again, the | 09:11PM 1 | media, |
|  | 2 | What is more di | 09:11PM 2 | nds will not be touched no matter what is |
|  | 3 | having somebody create lies about you that you have | 09:11PM 3 | there. It is protected lan |
|  | 4 | to defend yourself to -- to your family and your | 09:11PM | for the trees being cut down, that |
|  | 5 | dren and your friends | 09:11PI | uld happen no matter what construction were to |
|  | 6 | all | 09:11PM 6 |  |
| 09:09PM | 7 | AIRMAN WEIDMANN: Anna? | 09:11PM 7 | ce this is private property and th |
| 09:9PM | 8 | MS. HAVERILLA: I'm going to read | 09:11PM 8 | is so valuable, the owner of this property will |
| 09:9PM | 9 | statement and I | 09:11PM 9 | veloping it at some point, even if this |
| 09:09PM |  | heard, but rather than going off the cuff, I'm going | 09:11Pm 10 | pplication does not pass |
|  |  | to read this so I can be clear and on | 09:11PM 11 | , yes, the property owner could |
| 09:09PM |  | I have lived here for a long time | 09:11PM 12 | eoretically put three to four house development on |
| 09:00 |  | I've lived here for 32 years and have seen many | 09:11PM 13 | perty |
| 09:09Pm |  | t even with all these changes, this tow | 09:11PM 14 | sure not -- that would not be a |
| 09:09Pm |  | till one of the most beautiful and desirable | 09:11PM 15 | rative as he would like, but that would stil |
| 09:09Pm |  | ns in the area. If it was not, I don't think we'd | 09:11pm 16 | tail the clearing of the property. Or the property |
| 09:09Pm |  | have any development what | 09:11PM 17 | owner could just sit on the property for another two |
| 09:09PM | $18$ | n | 09:11PM 18 | years -- and I have been through all of this |
| 09:09Pm |  | this earth, the more land will be buit | 09:12PM 19 | fordable housing situation, waiting for our Housing |
| 09:09Pm | 20 | t to be absolutely clear, I have | 09:12PM 20 | ement to expire in 2025 and seek a 66-to 100-unit |
| 09: |  | se I have read many statements on Facebook, on | 09:12PM 21 | velopment for that property, which would be between |
| 09:10PM |  | ial media. My decision is my own, not the Mayor | 09:12PM 22 | 12 to 20 units per acre. And I'm assuming it would |
| 09:10PM |  | Council's. And it is solely based upon the facts | 09:12PM 23 | be the larger of the number. |
| 09:10PM |  | that were presented here | 09:12PM 24 | nder how many people would like to |
| 09:10PM 2 |  | As a planning board representative, I | 09:12PM 25 | see that happen in town? Affordable housing is not a |



1

process, what we did here and how we go forward.

First thing I'd say is I think that
just going forward on some of our next hearings, especially big applications, we should consider having Bob and John open the hearings with some of what you did tonight. I think that would ease a big portion of what happened and the confusion as to how these proceed, what people can do, when to speak, when to ask questions, who to ask questions, of all those things was a cause of a lot of a frustration and hardship during these meetings.

So I think that's something we should consider going forward just to make it easier.

My next question is for Bob. If this application were approved, does that give -- does that have any impact or any bearing on another -- an another lawsuit?

Say Lakeview turns around and sues CSH for whatever regarding the easements, the drainage, does our approval grant any weight to one side -- one way or the other.

MR. REGAN: I don't think it does. Your approval relates to the board and the board only. MR. ELLER: Okay.

## 118

Is -- do either you or John, do you
know any -- do you have any examples to demonstrate what the substantial reasons for a denial would be?

Like how -- what is -- have you ever -can you give us any examples that you -- that have been upheld and recognized and affirmed by a court and affirmed the court's -- a board's decision.

MR. SZABO: No, no. They're generally overturned.

MR. REGAN: I can't either, because when it's an inherently beneficial use, you're in a different atmosphere, a totally different situation.

MR. ELLER: Thank you.
And then all I would say, honestly, I
-- I tend to agree with everything Anna had to say here.

I think that this is the least of a bunch of bad -- this is the least evil of a bunch of bad decisions or a bunch of bad outcomes.

I don't think anyone here wants to see this developed, but by the same token I don't think there is any other even remotely better situation or better outcome that can happen than this because of the COAH -- because of the COAH situation and how this is going to go.

Over the last few years we've seen it, our settlement, we've beat that horse dead in the last 12 months talking about it and what goes on and why.

I think that by putting this here now we are protecting the town. No one wants to see -- I would love to see a park there tomorrow, but I don't think that's ever going to be a viable situation. When these kind of things happen, I look at it and say, what would I do.

I'm the property owner, as far as I know, that -- the purchase of this property was never brought to the town. I know the statement was made about a policy and an affirmative decision by the town. The town was never offered an opportunity to purchase this property to the best of my knowledge. This is a private transaction, a private sale.

And if I was the property owner and this -- and this contract wasn't able to go through and the sale fell apart, I ask myself what would I do? Would I sit on it for two years and turn around and sell it to somebody who's going to put 60 townhouses on it, probably make a lot more money or would I -- or would I try to turn around and sell it for half the price to make a park out of it?

I don't believe that's a viable option and a really -- I don't think that's ever something that can happen. I wish it would. I -- honest to God, I wish it would happen. No one wants to see it here. I'm third generation in this town. My mother still tells me about the dirt roads and when there was no traffic lights and the same farms Mike grew up with.

I just don't think that there is any realistic better option for this. And it's truly unfortunate and the problem is it's a political problem. It is not a -- it is not something that we have really any control over.

We can turn around and deny this right now. We go to court. All of our professionals are sitting here telling us they expect it to be -- our decision to be overturned if it's denied.

I think that's a misuse of tax dollars. And it opens us up to a whole slew of other negative -- negative outcomes that I think would be far more detrimental to the town.

So that's my opinion.
Like I said, no one wants to see this, but I think it's, unfortunately, the best of a bad situation.

|  | 121 |  | 123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:20PM 1 | MS. LOULOUDIS: I'll just make a quick | 09:22PM 1 | as a resident are -- are troubled by. |
| 09:20PM 2 | comment, because I know, Anna, you touched on a lot | 09:22PM 2 | When we moved to this town 22 years |
| 09:20PM | of things that I probably would have said as well | 09:22PM 3 | ago, it's changed dramatically. Most change is good, |
| 09:20PM | And Mr. Szabo, I want to thank you for | 09:22PM | ange challen |
| 09:20PM | your, kind of, breakdow | 09:22PM | I'm sure when the 2016 |
| 09:20PM | perspective of the testimony | 09:22PM 6 | Master Plan was written and talked about senior |
| 09:20PM 7 | I was going to touch, actually, on | 09:22PM 7 | housing, probably we thought about a different type |
| 09:20PM 8 | ey issues: Stormwater, traffic, | 3PM | ousing, because there are non |
| 09:20PM 9 | servation of the trees, the house and what was the | 09:23PM 9 | 66 years old. So I am in th |
| 09:20PM 10 | t one? I guess | 09 | demographic. And this residence is for people mostly |
| 09:20PM 11 | CE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Histori | 09:23PM 1 | in their mid-80s, but at 70 years old and 72 years |
| 09:20PM 12 | MS. LOULOUDIS: What's that? | 09:23PM 12 | old, there is no where in Old Tappan that I can go to |
| 09:20PM 13 | he historic house | 13 | that maybe will be on an apartment building, one |
| 09:20PM 14 | Those have always been, kind of, the | 09 | level. So right now my wife and I sit alone in a |
| 09:20PM 15 | things that I found were the key issues. | 09:238. 15 | 4,000-square-foot house. Probably the smallest hous |
| 09:20PM 16 | Once I confirmed in an earlier hearing | 16 | this town is 2,000. And some of my neighbors ha |
| $17$ | that there was nothing precluding the historic house | $17$ | oved to other towns because there was nothing for |
| 18 | from being taken down, to me that was kind of the key | 09:23PM 18 | in this to |
| 09:20PM 19 | to everything, because it can be taken down. It can | 09:23PM 19 | I'm a little perplexed and concerned |
| 09:20PM 20 | be developed as five single families | 20 | out how this is beneficial to the community when |
| 09:20PM 21 | I'll just say that as a practicing | 21 | ere are so many other facilities within the general |
| 22 | engineer myself, I have sat on a planning board like | , 22 | us of our tow |
| 09:21PM 23 | is as a citizen. I've sat in Tom Skrable's chair | 09:24PM 23 | They're -- the one thing that we've |
| $\text { 09:21PM } 24$ | for other towns. I've sat in Mr. Sehnal's seat. | $\text { 09:24PM } 24$ | learned in this town with Bi -State plaza losing its |
| 09:21PM 25 |  | 09:24PM 25 |  |
|  | 122 |  | 124 |
| 09:21PM 1 | hardest, and I appreciate all of your team's very | 09:24PM 1 | So if somebody needed to go into an |
| 09:21PM 2 | d wo | 09:24PM 2 | sted facility |
| 09:21PM 3 | Iso appreciate the public and their, | 09:24PM 3 | Westwood, it's a short drive, you can get there |
| 09:21PM 4 | you know, questions and their concerns, because | 09:24PM 4 | at's not something that we benefit |
| 09:21PM 5 | in this town as well. And I validate a lot of | 09:24PM 5 | st by having another one in our town |
| 09:21PM 6 | your concerns. | 09:24PM 6 | On the flip side, there's anothe |
| 09:21PM 7 | I also see it from the perspective of | 09:24PM 7 | aspect to that as well. My dad spent the last five |
| 09:21PM 8 | stormwater drainage and how the DEP works and how the | 09:24PM 8 | ars of his life in an assisted living and memory |
| 09:21PM 9 | ulations are. The regulations are going to be | 09:24PM 9 | re facility. And I would have loved to have him |
| 10 | rse in about six months. And we're going to be | 09:24PM 10 | ere in our to |
| 09:21PM 11 | designing for 2 feet above whatever the flood | 09:24PM 11 | At that point of my life, I was |
| 09:21PM 12 | vations are now. And we're going to be designing | 09:24PM | veling and on an airplane five days a week, |
| 13 | storm events that are the year 2100, I think, or | 09:24Pm 13 | sometimes gone three weeks at a time. |
| 09:21PM 14 | 2050, I forget | 09:24PM 14 | So where did my dad go? He went up |
| 09:21PM 15 | So this is hurting developers. It's | 09:25PM 15 | about 60 miles from here to be next to my older |
| 09:21PM 16 | ns. It's hurting residents. It's -- | 09:25PM 16 | other who had more of an opportunity to visit him. |
| 09:22PM 17 | just the regulatory nightmare that we all have to | 09:25PM 17 | feel the same is going to happen in |
| 09:22PM 18 | deal with. | 09:25PM 18 | r town because most of our children can't afford to |
| 09:22PM 19 | at's -- that's my two cent | 09:25PM 19 | is town |
| 09:22PM 20 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: I'm conflicted with | 09:25PM 20 | So when it's time for me at 86 year |
| 09:22PM 21 | what has happened here. I think the amount of time | o9:25PM 21 | that's -- I'm going 100, so don't worry about |
| 09:22PM 22 | that we spent on this was good. | 09:25PM 22 | that. |
| 09:22PM 23 | worked for a company, my entire | 09.25PM 23 | uaghter.) |
| 09:22PM 24 | career was in change management and nothing stays the | 09.25PM 24 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: But at the time that I |
|  | same. And that's the part that the public and myself | 09:25PM 25 | need it, I'll be going to where my children are, in |

that general vicinity. I hope they grow to be able to live here. I definitely don't want to leave this town, you know, when I get too old to maneuver through a 4,000-square-foot house.

So I'm in the middle here. I don't think I have a vote. I'm an alternate.

But the -- the traffic situation, I think, if you remember I brought up, because I do substitute crossing guard, the people in the morning that move their kids down Old Tappan Road are very efficient in doing that.

This is obviously better than having a group of townhouses going on there.

So change is tough, people, but it's not going to stop. And we just have to do our best. We can't lose the concessions that CSH has given us. Those are important.

And if we need more, we should work through that as part of the resolution.

MR. REGAN: Well, everyone will get a draft of the resolution before the meeting when it's scheduled, and if there is -- it is an approval, the conditions will be detailed with specificity.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Thank you.
MR. BEDIAN: I don't want to sound
126

## repetitive.

Most of, you know, my colleagues here, the board members covered, you know, pretty much everything I had in mind to say.

I'm still conflicted. I didn't buy the beneficial use argument that much.

In my opinion the historic house will not survive moving it from current location couple hundred feet to the parking lot here.

I am a civil engineer registered in the
State of New Jersey. I work for a construction company.

One of my projects, I wasn't the project manager for that particular, you know, contract, Thank God, was an old firehouse in Peekskill, New York. You can Google it and you can see it, was to move the firehouse a couple hundred feet because it was in the way of building a highway. It did not survive. It was 200-year-old house like the one we have here. It's -- it's -- it's very, very difficult to move very old building. But, again, on the flip side of the coin is, it's a private property. There's nothing preventing any owner to knock it down and there's nothing we can do about it.
on everybody's mind, but we're only getting ten credits. It is something, it's better than zero, but it's not -- it shouldn't be the major or -- or the factor to, you know, vote on this application.

I hope it doesn't come to my vote, anyway I'm --
(Laughter.)
MR. BEDIAN: -- I'm the bottom of the pecking order here so...

MR. SZABO: There's a seat right here.
MR. BEDIAN: So I have more freedom to express my opinion.

It -- it has been a journey. For me it was, you know, this was my first, you know, serving on a board, so it was -- it's a good experience.

And, you know, really enjoyed, like, being here every -- every month, I would say, and the public engagement is commendable. And that's what makes Old Tappan a great, you know, community.

And thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. ELLER: Can I have one more question to Bob?

Just quick, if it goes to a lawsuit, if
128
it's denied, does -- is there any other factors that are similar to if it was an intervenor situation where any of the restrictions or anything are in question anymore, as far as the -- it would only pertain to the exact application, correct?

MR. REGAN: That's correct.
MR. ELLER: Nothing can be expanded or changed or altered --

MR. REGAN: Right.
MR. ELLER: -- as part of this --
MR. REGAN: Is there's a denial, the decision would be to contest the board's denial, request that the court order the approval of the plan as submitted.

MR. ELLER: Thank you.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: So the conditions that you were going to write would now no longer be applicable?

MR. REGAN: That's correct.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: So there's no --
MR. REGAN: Unless -- unless the court
reads -- it's possible the court could -- the court reverses a denial.

The court could send it back to the board for the imposition of conditions that are


| 1 | CERTIFICATE 133 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
|  | I, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R., a Notary |
| 4 | Public of the State of New Jersey, Notary ID. <br> \#50094914, Certified Court Reporter of the State of |
| 5 | New Jersey, and a Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing is a verbatim |
| 6 | record of the testimony provided under oath before any court, referee, board, commission or other body |
| 7 | created by statute of the State of New Jersey. <br> I am not related to the parties |
| 8 | involved in this action; I have no financial |
|  | interest, nor am I related to an agent of or employed |
| 9 | by anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of this action. |
| 10 | This transcript complies with |
|  | regulation 13:43-5.9 of the New Jersey Administrative |
| 11 | Code. |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
|  | LAURAA. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R. |
| 15 | Licese \#XI02050, and Notary Public |
|  | of New Jersey \#50094914, Notary |
| 16 | Expiration Date December 3, 2023 |
| 17 | Dated: 1/17/23 |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |



LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

|  |  | ```113:17 application [49] - 8:18, 8:20, 21:1, 24:25, 27:8, 31:24, 45:18, 50:23, 51:5, 53:1, 57:8, 57:24, 58:2, 59:15, 59:22, 60:2, 60:5, 62:14, 66:4, 69:22, 72:1, 73:20, 74:8, 74:14, 78:22, 83:4, 88:17, 97:6, 97:9, 99:7, 101:24, 102:2, 102:17, 102:25, 105:15, 111:2, 111:8, 112:10, 113:7, 114:14, 114:19, 115:11, 117:15, 127:5, 128:5, 129:21, 130:1, 130:6, 130:12 applications [9] - 57:21, 58:15, 61:22, 81:20, 94:23, 95:1, 106:9, 116:11, 117:4 applies [1]-51:19 apply [1] - 88:12 applying [4]-62:18, 62:22, 87:4, 87:5 appointment [1] - 65:22 appointments [1] - 5:20 appreciate [4]-51:3, 57:10, 122:1, 122:3 approach [1] - 74:13 appropriate [3] - 46:15, 87:10, 96:7 approval [13]-19:22, 29:1, 40:6, 67:20, 69:21, 81:15, 82:25, 83:2, 96:20, 117:20, 117:23, 125:22, 128:13 approve [1] - 74:4 approved [9]-27:8, 36:16, 90:5, 101:7, 102:2, 115:12, 115:15, 117:15, 130:12 approves [1]-43:19 approving [1] - 15:21 architect [2]-63:6, 63:7 architectural [3] - 24:10, 24:17, 25:14 architecture [1] - 23:25 area [24]-17:11, 17:23, 24:2, 28:7,``` | 30:13, 31:6, 31:14, $31: 16,31: 17,32: 9$, $32: 13,34: 16,35: 2$, $35: 8,40: 15,56: 3$, $62: 3,62: 4,67: 8$, $86: 21,89: 5,90: 9$, 110:16 areas $[2]-12: 3,64: 7$ argument $[2]-104: 25$, 126:6 arise $[2]-85: 14,85: 15$ arisen $[1]-61: 2$ arrangement $[1]-$ $42: 22$ arrested $[1]-109: 18$ Artis $[2]-57: 23,58: 2$ ashamed $[1]-116: 3$ Aside $[1]-51: 13$ aside $[17]-41: 17$, $41: 21,42: 4,48: 14$, $48: 15,49: 3,51: 24$, $51: 25,52: 5,52: 8$, $52: 15,52: 20,53: 5$, $53: 8,58: 17,58: 18$, $111: 17$ aspect $[3]-78: 12$, $88: 17,124: 7$ aspects $[1]-83: 4$ aspirational $[1]-$ $84: 10$ assessment $[1]-$ $32: 18$ assist $[1]-60: 16$ assisted $[28]-1: 6$, $12: 15,12: 20,14: 16$, $18: 9,19: 23,38: 10$, $38: 21,43: 9,52: 7$, $54: 10,56: 5,57: 18$, $57: 20,63: 19,64: 6$, $68: 23,71: 9,72: 18$, $73: 15,73: 16,81: 21$, $88: 14,88: 20,98: 9$, 99:10, $124: 2,124: 8$ assisted-living $[10]-$ $1: 6,19: 23,54: 10$, $57: 20,71: 9,72: 18$, $73: 15,73: 16,88: 14$, 99:10 associated $[3]-$ $22: 11,76: 11,78: 17$ Association $[1]-9: 10$ association $[3]-$ 10:11, 10:13, 23:25 assume $[3]-13: 21$, $53: 22,91: 16$ assumed $[1]-54: 18$ assuming $[1]-112: 22$ assure $[1]-54: 7$ astronomical $[1]-$ $43: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT }{ }_{[1]}-1: 2 \\ & \text { atmosphere }[2]- \\ & 37: 17,118: 12 \\ & \text { attack }[1]-116: 18 \\ & \text { attempt }[1]-42: 24 \\ & \text { attend }[1]-95: 25 \\ & \text { attention }[3]-50: 23, \\ & 51: 4,57: 10 \\ & \text { attorney }[1]-72: 21 \\ & \text { Attorney }[1]-2: 11 \\ & \text { attractive }[1]-111: 3 \\ & \text { audience }[3]-17: 5, \\ & \text { 18:21, } 99: 5 \\ & \text { AUDIENCE }[12]- \\ & 68: 11,68: 12,68: 13, \\ & 69: 25,70: 1,70: 2, \\ & 78: 24,96: 13, \\ & 100: 17,100: 19, \\ & \text { 105:8, 105:9 } \\ & \text { Audience }[6]-68: 14, \\ & 70: 3,79: 1,93: 1, \\ & 96: 14,100: 20 \\ & \text { available }[2]-86: 8, \\ & 91: 8 \\ & \text { average }[1]-13: 4 \\ & \text { aware }[15]-20: 25, \\ & 21: 3,21: 18,21: 22, \\ & 25: 8,32: 24,34: 22, \\ & 35: 3,36: 9,36: 10, \\ & 37: 1,37: 18,41: 2, \\ & 41: 9,72: 22 \\ & \text { Azarian }[1]-5: 19 \\ & \text { AZARIAN }[1]-1: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> B <br> baby [1] - 83:23 <br> bad [4]-118:18, <br> 118:19, 120:24 <br> balance [3]-81:11, <br> 82:13, 84:22 <br> balancing [2] - 87:5, 106:1 <br> based [13]-11:15, <br> 11:19, 16:2, 17:7, <br> 35:8, 56:6, 62:13, <br> 90:20, 104:15, <br> 104:17, 104:23, <br> 105:25, 110:23 <br> basin [1] - 21:20 <br> basins [1] - 40:1 <br> basis [3]-24:19, <br> 26:23, 116:10 <br> bearing [2]-117:16, 127:1 <br> beat [1]-119:2 <br> Beattie [2] - 9:8, 50:20 <br> BEATTIE $[1]-2: 8$ <br> beautiful [2]-110:15, 114:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
become [5]-67:23, 75:20, 90:23, 92:1, 107:8
bed [3]-43:13, 43:20, 46:16
BEDIAN [7] - 1:16, 3:21, 5:11, 7:11, 125:25, 127:9, 127:12
Bedian [2] - 5:10, 7:10 bedridden [2] - 75:19, 88:21
beds [17] - 15:5, 15:16, 16:15, 16:21, 17:17, 43:12, 46:24, 46:25, 51:23, 54:22, 95:14, 95:15, 95:18, 98:2, 98:4, 98:19, 98:23
beginning [1] - 96:24
behalf [4]-9:9, 50:20, 59:7, 83:11
behind [3]-98:16, 113:19
BEING [1] - $1: 9$
beneficial [28]-16:23, 54:13, 61:24, 63:12, 67:24, 68:22, 72:17, 73:2, 73:7, 73:8, 73:17, 74:5, 74:9, 81:22, 82:23, 83:2, 93:20, 94:6, 94:17, 95:12, 96:3, 99:11, 102:8, 102:20, 111:15, 118:11, 123:20, 126:6
benefit [7]-14:17, 61:23, 88:21, 88:22, 95:3, 95:20, 124:4
benefits [5] - 15:20, 70:7, 74:21, 116:7
Bergen [2]-24:1, 106:9
best [8]-27:10, 80:10, 81:9, 113:18, 116:15, 119:16, 120:24, 125:15
bet [3]-65:13, 65:14, 86:9
better [6] - 57:1, 118:22, 118:23, 120:10, 125:12, 127:3
between [9]-9:19, 31:2, 58:17, 66:12, 77:8, 91:12, 101:20, 112:21, 115:8
beyond [3]-9:20, 70:10, 70:12
Bi [5] - 60:25, 88:18,

88:19, 88:22, 123:24
Bi-County [2] - 88:19, 88:22
Bi-Fuel [1] - 60:25
Bi-State [1] - 123:24
big [5] - 56:6, 56:11, 66:17, 117:4, 117:6
bigger [1] - 67:4
Bill [1] - 131:14
bit [10] - 17:6, 24:7, 34:2, 35:11, 35:13, 36:5, 43:7, 90:10, 111:4, 123:4
blame [1] - 88:3
blanket [1] - 82:25
Block [1] - 1:6
board [77] - 4:7, 5:19, 15:20, 17:4, 20:23, 22:14, 27:19, 27:23, 35:23, 40:5, 50:11, 50:23, 51:17, 51:18, 54:6, 54:16, 55:20, 57:8, 57:19, 59:13, 59:16, 59:23, 60:22, 64:19, 65:7, 65:8, 66:14, 67:21, 69:21, 71:4, 71:12, 72:9, 74:7, 74:10, 74:19, 76:6, 76:8, 80:17, 81:10, 81:16, 82:2, 82:4, 82:5, 82:11, 83:1, 83:5, 83:11, 87:3, 93:7, 93:12, 94:25, 96:18, 97:13, 102:2, 103:13, 103:15, 104:16, 104:24, 107:2, 107:5, 107:18, 109:17, 110:25, 113:25, 114:7, 114:8, 114:19, 117:23, 121:22, 126:3, 127:16, 128:25, 129:20, 133:6
BOARD [3]-1:1, 1:9, 3:8
Board [8] - 2:3, 2:19,
2:21, 5:16, 5:18,
7:12, 7:25, 9:5
board's [4] - 74:6, 106:25, 118:7, 128:12
Board/Professional [2] - 3:4, 3:9
boards [3] - 70:8, 94:24
Bob [5] - 7:18, 97:20, 117:5, 117:14, 127:24

Bob's [1] - 130:23
body [2]-72:11, 133:6 boilerplate [1] - 48:17 bonus [1] - 90:10 boom [1] - 83:23 borough [1] - 59:5 Borough [44] - 2:20, 4:4, 4:7, 18:6, 20:15, 20:18, 27:11, 28:21, 29:2, 33:23, 36:8, 36:14, 36:24, 41:2, 41:9, 41:20, 45:11, 52:11, 58:3, 61:19, 61:23, 62:5, 78:9, 79:7, 79:13, 80:15, 80:21, 80:23, 81:5, 83:15, 83:21, 85:6, 85:7, 85:11, 87:1, 89:12, 90:1, 90:4, 92:2, 97:1, 100:16, 100:23, 101:2,
111:19
BOROUGH [3]-1:1, 1:9
Borough 's [13] - 32:3,
46:18, 48:12, 51:8, 51:11, 51:17, 56:6, 56:9, 56:24, 61:19, 62:6, 84:16, 115:16 bottom [4]-45:17, 75:10, 81:18, 127:9
Boulevard [2] - 2:5, 8:2
Bowers [2]-54:8, 54:10
Boyce [3]-5:2, 7:2, 7:21 BOYCE [1] - 1:12 break [1] - 74:24 breakdown [1] - 121:5 breaks [1] - 29:20
Brian [1] - 1:21
bribes [2] - 109:9, 109:18
brief [1] - 50:11
briefs [1] - 104:13
bring [2] - 31:25, 104:19
brings [1] - 95:7 broader [1] - 20:4
brother [1] - 124:16
brought [5] - 102:8,
109:2, 114:9, 119:13, 125:8 buffer [7] - 40:16, 40:19, 62:8, 62:9, 62:10, 86:21, 114:17 buffers [1] - 40:1 bugging [1] - 99:2 build [1] - 26:3
building [21] - 25:23, 26:13, 32:4, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9, 38:12, 38:23, 40:9, 44:7, 53:1, 56:4, 56:12, 56:15, 56:16, 60:14, 100:1, 100:6, 123:13, 126:18, 126:21
buildings [3]-23:12, 24:16, 32:21 built [1] - 110:19
bulk [10] - 30:4, 30:13, 30:18, 33:20, 34:3, $34: 24,38: 17,44: 8$, 44:21, 68:19 bulletin [1] - 4:6 bunch [5] - 57:13, 68:5, 118:18, 118:19 burden [11] - 55:10, 55:17, 55:18, 55:21, 55:23, 57:7, 74:1, 75:21, 81:5, 102:5
Bureau [2] - 11:19, 11:22
but.. [1] - 87:23
buy [1] - 126:5
BY ${ }_{[5]}-2: 4,2: 8,11: 7$, 29:25, 42:14

| $\mathbf{C}$ |
| :---: |
| C.C.R <br> 133 $]$ <br> 133:14 133:3, |
| C |

## 133:14

case [31]-34:22, 35:4, 42:22, 44:15, 53:13, 54:14, 63:8, 65:17, 68:20, 70:17, 71:18, 72:15, 72:21, 72:22, 72:24, 74:1, 74:12,
74:14, 81:25, 84:16,
87:18, 87:20, 87:21, 93:17, 93:21, 94:10, 94:11, 94:15, 103:9, 106:15, 106:17
cases [4] - 87:19, 105:6, 106:6, 106:8 cast [1] - 100:12 categorize [1] - 42:25 category [4] - 12:6, 12:13, 12:14, 73:18 cautionary [1] - 86:13 Census [3]-11:19, 11:22, 12:3
center [3] - 73:13, 113:24, 114:15
Center [6]-2:5, 48:13, 52:20, 53:20, 90:3, 90:6
centered [1] - 76:17 centers [1] - 98:24 cents [1] - 122:19 certain [6] - 68:15, 71:16, 71:17, 72:10, 72:16, 76:11
certainly [3] - 15:3, 56:15, 65:10 certainty [1]-18:3 certificate [7]-17:11,

17:15, 43:18, 43:19, 51:22, 53:18, 98:12
certificates [1] - 98:13
Certified [1] - 133:4
certify [1] - 133:5
cetera [1] - 11:24
chair [1] - 121:23
Chair [1] - 4:13
CHAIRMAN [85] -
1:10, 1:11, 3:13, 3:14, 4:1, 4:11, 4:14, $5: 12,5: 15,5: 22$, 5:24, 6:1, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:11, 6:14, 6:16, 7:15, 7:23, 8:22, 47:23, 48:2, 48:20, 49:6, 49:14, 49:20, 49:23, 70:23, 97:10, 97:12, 100:13, 100:21, 101:1, 101:6, 101:11, 101:13, 101:15, 101:23, 102:7,
102:12, 102:15,

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:21, 102:24, } \\ & \text { 103:2, 103:8, } \\ & \text { 103:14, 103:18, } \\ & \text { 103:21, 103:23, } \\ & \text { 104:1, 104:9, } \\ & \text { 104:17, 104:21, } \\ & \text { 105:1, 105:3, } \\ & \text { 105:11, 106:5, } \\ & \text { 106:8, 106:13, } \\ & \text { 106:24, 107:7, } \\ & \text { 107:10, 107:14, } \\ & \text { 107:18, 107:20, } \\ & \text { 107:23, 110:7, } \\ & \text { 115:3, 121:11, } \\ & \text { 128:16, 128:20, } \\ & 129: 17,130: 10, \\ & 130: 16,130: 19, \\ & 130: 25,131: 4, \\ & 131: 6,131: 10, \\ & 131: 12,131: 14, \\ & \text { 132:2, 132:4, 132:7 } \\ & \text { chairman }[4]-71: 1, \\ & 101: 4,105: 10, \\ & 129: 14 \\ & \text { Chairman }[3]-3: 4, \\ & \text { 42:8, } 71: 16 \\ & \text { challenges }[1]-123: 4 \\ & \text { chance }[1]-53: 15 \\ & \text { change }[5]-57: 3, \\ & 122: 24,123: 3, \\ & 123: 4,125: 14 \\ & \text { changed }[2]-123: 3, \\ & 128: 8 \\ & \text { changes }[4]-60: 9, \\ & 96: 23,110: 14 \\ & \text { character }[2]-24: 12, \\ & 26: 13 \\ & \text { characteristics }[1]- \\ & 24: 10 \\ & \text { characterize } \\ & \text { charged }[1]-70: 9 \\ & \text { CHARLES }[1]-1: 13 \\ & \text { Charlie }[1]-97: 16 \\ & \text { chart }[1]-34: 24 \\ & \text { check }[3]-34: 11, \\ & 51: 10 \\ & \text { Chestnut }[1]-2: 9 \\ & \text { childcare }[1]-73: 13 \\ & \text { children }[5]-60: 12, \\ & 109: 23,110: 5, \\ & \text { 124:18, 124:25 } \\ & \text { choices }[1]-80: 8 \\ & \text { church }[13]-65: 21, \\ & 65: 22,66: 16,66: 19, \\ & 66: 22,67: 1,67: 6, \\ & 67: 9,67: 16,89: 3, \\ & 108: 7,108: 15, \\ & \text { 114:14 } \\ & \text { church's }[1]-67: 9 \\ & \text { circular }[1]-60: 15 \end{aligned}$ | ```circulating [1] - 4:4 circumstances [2] - 9:12, 81:23 citation [2]-51:10, 53:12 cited [2]-68:21, 93:20 citing [1] - 94:10 citizen [3] - 64:4, 64:5, 121:23 citizens [1] - 63:23 City \({ }_{[1]}\) - 87:21 civil [2] - 63:5, 126:10 clapping [1] - 109:21 clarified [1]-76:5 clarify [2]-99:1, 111:25 clarity [1] - 49:7 classification [1] - 94:8 classifications [1] - 72:16 classified [3]-72:19, 72:25, 73:15 clear [7]-48:5, 49:4, 49:10, 82:18, 99:5, 110:11, 110:20 clearer [1] - 43:8 clearing [1] - 112:16 clearly [6] - 46:10, 63:8, 70:6, 70:17, 99:6, 99:16 client \({ }_{[11]}-8: 12,10: 7\), 59:7, 59:17, 59:18, 59:21, 59:23, 67:12, 109:10 client's [2] - 51:3, 56:21 Cliff's [1]-53:13 close [3]-5:23, 5:24, 36:24 closed [3]-8:18, 65:23, 98:16 Closter [3]-54:1, 54:5, 124:2 COAH [5] - 53:7, 111:15, 118:24, 127:1 Code [2] - 44:6, 133:11 code [3]-32:7, 42:16, 44:5 cognizant \({ }_{[1]}\) - 60:10 coin [1] - 126:23 colleagues [1]-126:2 coming [5]-18:17, 64:20, 72:13, 85:21, 90:23 COMMENCING [1] - 1:2 commendable [1] -``` |  | ```114:24, 125:16 conclude [1] - 89:15 concluded [1] - 132:9 condition [12]-21:20, 21:25, 40:6, 42:1, 51:21, 65:1, 67:20, 68:4, 81:17, 96:20, 101:7, 115:15 conditioning [1] - 67:10 conditions [23]-21:2, 22:3, 36:13, 40:23, 59:20, 64:16, 64:19, 64:20, 74:23, 81:11, 81:12, 81:13, 82:5, 82:14, 86:16, 87:10, 96:19, 125:23, 128:17, 128:25, 129:6, 130:15, 130:20 conducts [2]-5:16, 7:12 confidence [1] - 76:23 confirmed [1]-121:16 conflicted [2] - 122:20, 126:5 conforming [1]-86:7 confusion [2] - 84:6, 117:7 connected [1] - 81:7 connection [4] - 53:25, 54:2, 54:4, 58:15 cons [2]-111:1, 111:4 consent [1]-57:3 consequences [1] - 79:5 consider [6] - 76:1, 84:23, 88:7, 117:4, 117:13, 129:21 consideration [4] - 60:19, 60:22, 61:5, 61:24 consideration's [1] - 85:17 considered [5] - 27:10, 73:9, 92:1, 98:4, 98:5 considering [1] - 92:21 consistent [3]-32:25, 33:4, 45:12 constantly [2] - 78:2, 116:14 constitute [1] - 24:19 constituted [1] - 109:9 constrained [2] - 61:21, 86:20 Construction [1] - 94:11``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { construction [8] - } \\ 60: 11,62: 24,97: 25, \\ 112: 5,113: 21, \\ 113: 22,114: 2, \\ 126: 11 \\ \text { contained }[2]-67: 20, \\ 69: 4 \\ \text { contest }[1]-128: 12 \\ \text { context }[6]-15: 25, \\ 16: 16,20: 4,71: 4, \\ 88: 8,93: 12 \\ \text { continues }[3]-5: 21, \\ 7: 14,83: 24 \\ \text { continuum }[1]-18: 12 \\ \text { contract }[3]-96: 22, \\ 119: 19,126: 15 \\ \text { contradict }[2]-63: 3, \\ 63: 4 \\ \text { contradicted }[1]- \\ 96: 7 \\ \text { contradiction }[1]- \\ 69: 5 \\ \text { contradictory }[1]- \\ 79: 18 \\ \text { contrary }[1]-46: 24 \\ \text { contribute }[1]-24: 11 \\ \text { control }[4]-33: 10, \\ 33: 16,37: 7,120: 13 \\ \text { controversy }[1]-77: 8 \\ \text { conversation }[1]- \\ 78: 22 \\ \text { conveying }[2]-20: 14, \\ 20: 16 \\ \text { copies }[1]-104: 7 \\ \text { Coral/Capital }[1]-1: 5 \\ \text { corner }[3]-85: 22, \\ 88: 24,89: 25 \\ \text { corners }[1]-70: 10 \\ \text { Corp }[2]-94: 11,108: 7 \\ \text { Corporate }[1]-2: 5 \\ \text { corps }[2]-81: 3,88: 25 \\ \text { correct }[73]-9: 11, \\ 11: 20,11: 21,12: 3, \\ 12: 10,12: 11,13: 13, \\ 13: 17,15: 12,18: 18, \\ 19: 16,19: 19,20: 16, \\ 20: 19,21: 14,22: 8, \\ 25: 4,25: 5,25: 25, \\ 28: 2,28: 14,28: 23, \\ 28: 25,30: 8,33: 21, \\ 33: 25,34: 1,34: 5, \\ 34: 6,35: 16,36: 4, \\ 36: 22,39: 20,42: 20, \\ 42: 22,43: 14,43: 15, \\ 43: 20,43: 22,44: 3, \\ 44: 4,44: 10,44: 11, \\ 44: 19,44: 22,44: 23, \\ 45: 9,45: 19,45: 20, \\ 45: 23,46: 3,46: 9, \\ 46: 17,47: 2,49: 13, \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { coverage }[6]-39: 5, \\ & \text { 39:23, 40:9, 40:14, } \\ & \text { 44:8, } 76: 18 \\ & \text { covered }[1]-126: 3 \\ & \text { Cox }{ }_{[3]}-30: 24,93: 25 \\ & \text { create }[2]-109: 22, \\ & \text { 110:3 } \\ & \text { created }[1]-133: 7 \\ & \text { creation }[2]-83: 22, \\ & 85: 1 \\ & \text { credit }[3]-53: 16, \\ & 53: 17,59: 9 \\ & \text { credits }[6]-53: 19, \\ & 59: 2,90: 11,111: 15, \\ & 127: 3 \\ & \text { criteria }[7]-74: 22, \\ & 82: 9,82: 13,88: 15, \\ & 94: 22,95: 2,96: 4 \\ & \text { Cross }[1]-3: 3 \\ & \text { CROSS }[1]-11: 6 \\ & \text { cross }[4]-8: 23,47: 9, \\ & 50: 6,71: 20 \\ & \text { crossing }[1]-125: 9 \\ & \text { crowd }[1]-99: 5 \\ & \text { CSH }[6]-1: 4,13: 15, \\ & 17: 1,67: 23,117: 18, \\ & 125: 16 \\ & \text { cuff }[1]-110: 10 \\ & \text { curb }[1]-38: 20 \\ & \text { current }[7]-21: 19, \\ & 45: 8,68: 3,84: 23, \\ & 86: 16,105: 12,126: 8 \\ & \text { curtain }[1]-98: 16 \\ & \text { cut }[4]-108: 10, \\ & 108: 11,111: 23, \\ & 112: 4 \\ & \text { cuts }[1]-38: 20 \\ & \text { cutting }[1]-108: 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```DAVID [1] - 1:14 days [1] - 124:12 dead [1] - 119:2 deal [5] - 9:21, 43:4, 75:1, 94:21, 122:18 dealing [3] - 42:16, 42:21, 46:21 December [3]-8:4, 59:14, 133:16 decide [1] - 80:9 decides [1] - 93:12 decision [20]-55:20, 79:12, 80:12, 80:23, 82:4, 84:9, 98:21, 101:18, 102:3, 103:17, 103:18, 104:14, 105:25, 106:16, 106:25, 110:22, 118:7, 119:14, 120:17, 128:12 decision-making [1] - 84:9 decisions [1] - 118:19 declaratory [1]-51:9 deemed [3] - 93:19, 94:6, 95:19 defend [5] - 103:9, 103:16, 106:25, 110:4 defended [1] - 107:21 defense [1] - 103:5 defer [3]-26:15, 76:22, 87:14 deficit [1] - 17:6 defined [1] - 43:12 defines [1] - 73:6 definitely [2] - 31:8, 125:2 definition [1]-43:3 degree [2] - 18:2, 79:3 deliberations [1] - 71:4 DELIBERATIONS [1] - 3:8 DelVecchio [1] - 94:16 demand [3]-15:24, 16:14, 18:12 demanded [3] - 52:20, 52:22, 52:24 demographic [4] - 11:14, 16:3, 54:15, 123:10 demographics [3] - 11:24, 75:1, 98:18 demolition [1]-97:5 demonstrate [5] - 54:15, 56:1, 67:23, 73:23, 118:2 demonstrated [1] -``` | ```66:23 demonstrating [3] - 55:17, 55:24, 97:8 denial [6] - 118:3, 128:11, 128:12, 128:23, 129:3, 129:9 denials [1]-95:1 denied [4]-82:2, 99:7, 120:17, 128:1 densities [1] - 91:8 density [23]-31:7, 31:9, 34:8, 34:9, 34:23, 34:25, 35:6, 35:7, 36:8, 36:25, 37:3, 37:16, 37:22, 38:2, 38:4, 43:1, 51:15, 56:3, 56:19, 82:6, 86:10, 86:12 deny \([8]-57: 8,81: 23\), 82:17, 82:23, 97:6, 105:14, 114:19, 120:14 DEP [6]-56:23, 62:11, 62:12, 65:5, 78:5, 122:8 Department [1] - 43:19 department [5] - 26:13, 51:20, 60:14, 60:18, 88:24 DESCRIPTION [1] - 3:23 design [4]-66:2, 84:1, 88:15, 97:25 designated [2] - 19:11, 97:1 designation [2] - 25:16, 63:18 designations [1] - 25:13 designing [2] - 122:11, 122:12 desirability [1] - 24:12 desirable [1] - 110:15 desires [1]-84:8 despite [2]-60:1, 79:9 destroyed [2]-25:25, 111:24 detailed [1] - 125:23 detention [1] - 21:20 deteriorating [1] - 111:20 determine [4]-12:7, 15:20, 74:20, 87:7 detriment [2]-97:7, 97:9 detrimental [5] - 64:14, 76:9, 95:23, 96:18, 120:21``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { detriments [2]-15:22, } \\ & 74: 21 \\ & \text { developed }[3]-86: 6, \\ & \text { 118:21, 121:20 } \\ & \text { developer }[6]-52: 13, \\ & 78: 17,78: 18,80: 3, \\ & 80: 4,101: 20 \\ & \text { developers }[1]- \\ & 122: 15 \\ & \text { developing }[3]- \\ & 70: 15,98: 8,112: 9 \\ & \text { development }[27]- \\ & 33: 17,37: 8,41: 14, \\ & 49: 3,52: 7,52: 19, \\ & 57: 17,57: 19,76: 12, \\ & 76: 14,78: 13,79: 3, \\ & 79: 6,85: 10,86: 7, \\ & 86: 15,89: 7,89: 9, \\ & 96: 11,96: 23, \\ & 110: 17,112: 12, \\ & 112: 21,113: 19, \\ & 113: 24,114: 3 \\ & \text { developments }[4]- \\ & 19: 4,36: 11,48: 13, \\ & 48: 14 \\ & \text { Diane }[2]-6: 12, \\ & 131: 10 \\ & \text { DIANE }[1]-2: 21 \\ & \text { differ }[1]-38: 10 \\ & \text { difference }[3]-58: 17, \\ & 66: 12,115: 8 \\ & \text { different }[19]-9: 12, \\ & 16: 22,21: 25,22: 7, \\ & 36: 20,43: 6,43: 8, \\ & 43: 10,44: 7,44: 21, \\ & 45: 1,59: 16,61: 14, \\ & 69: 7,80: 18,88: 15, \\ & 118: 12,123: 7 \\ & \text { difficult }[3]-81: 21, \\ & 81: 22,126: 21 \\ & \text { direct }[2]-35: 21, \\ & 84: 12 \\ & \text { directed }[1]-37: 16 \\ & \text { direction }[1]-21: 6 \\ & \text { directly }[1]-16: 4 \\ & \text { dirt }[4]-108: 14, \\ & 108: 17,108: 23, \\ & 120: 6 \\ & \text { discharge }[1]-21: 21 \\ & \text { discharging }[1]- \\ & 22: 11 \\ & \text { discrete }[1]-12: 2 \\ & \text { discuss }[3]-107: 6, \\ & 108: 10,108: 25 \\ & \text { discussed }[3]-77: 9, \\ & 80: 25,107: 4 \\ & \text { discussion }[3]- \\ & 14: 13,18: 21,94: 24 \\ & \text { discussions }[4]- \\ & 45: 1,76: 16,79: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

| 80:16 <br> disenfranchising [1] 72:3 <br> disgusting $[1]$ 116:20 <br> disparate [1] - 94:8 <br> disrespect [1] - 109:6 <br> disrespectful [3] - $109: 3,109: 20,110: 2$ <br> distance [1]-89:1 <br> disturbance [1] - <br> 78:20 <br> divert [1] - 84:19 <br> divided [1] - 56:8 <br> division [1] - 104:5 <br> document [7]-19:3, <br> 24:8, 24:22, 27:14, <br> 27:16, 63:2, 83:16 <br> documentary [1] 63:2 <br> documented [1] 17:12 <br> documents [3]-69:6, 83:17, 104:11 <br> DOH [1] - 51:23 <br> dollars [4]-29:9, <br> 65:14, 120:18 <br> donate [1]-80:14 <br> donating [1] - 61:18 <br> donation [1]-80:13 <br> done [12]-49:15, <br> 50:1, 50:2, 55:19, <br> 58:13, 62:9, 63:9, <br> 71:17, 78:10, 112:3, <br> 113:22, 114:2 <br> donuts [2]-65:13, $65: 14$ <br> door [2] - 58:9, 65:21 <br> doors [2]-65:23, <br> 98:16 <br> double [1]-34:11 <br> doubt [1] - 114:18 <br> down [20]-17:2, 61:4, 74:25, 80:5, 91:25, 92:12, 97:5, 99:8, 103:11, 108:4, 108:10, 108:11, 111:23, 112:4, 121:18, 121:19, 125:10, 126:24, 130:1, 130:4 <br> draft [1] - 125:21 <br> drainage [15]-59:25, 65:1, 65:2, 65:18, 76:17, 76:19, 76:21, 76:23, 76:25, 77:3, 77:4, 77:14, 78:2, 117:19, 122:8 drains [1] - 57:4 dramatically [1] - | 123:3 <br> Drive [1]-2:11 <br> drive [1] - 124:3 <br> driveway [3] - 67:9, <br> 96:8, 116:12 <br> driveways [2]-38:20, 39:1 <br> dump [2]-57:4, <br> 108:13 <br> during [5] - 45:2, <br> 59:22, 64:23, 65:17, <br> 117:11 <br> DUs [1] - 35:20 <br> dwelling [7] - 34:17, <br> 35:20, 36:15, 42:17, <br> 43:4, 43:21, 51:15 <br> Dynamic [1]-39:18 <br> E <br> early [1] - 77:10 <br> earth [1]-110:19 <br> ease [1]-117:6 <br> easements [1] - <br> 117:19 <br> easier [1] - 117:13 <br> easy [1]-51:2 <br> Edith [1]-2:11 <br> Edition [1]-94:1 <br> effect $[4]$ - 62:24, <br> 64:15, 96:3, 96:19 <br> effects [3]-64:14, <br> 76:9, 95:23 <br> efficient ${ }_{[1]}-125: 11$ <br> effort [2] - 9:23, 22:23 <br> efforts [3]-10:13, <br> 46:2, 46:7 <br> eight [3]-14:23, <br> 15:15, 69:17 <br> either [12] - 10:13, <br> 19:11, 50:9, 50:10, <br> 60:23, 79:8, 80:1, <br> 80:18, 86:14, 99:17, <br> 118:1, 118:10 <br> elaborate [1]-72:20 <br> elected [1] - 33:23 <br> electric [1] - 60:21 <br> Element [2]-85:5, <br> 112:20 <br> elements [1]-63:16 <br> elevations [1]-122:12 <br> elevator [1]-61:9 <br> eleven [1] - 98:3 <br> eligibility $[1]-12: 8$ <br> eligible [1] - 129:23 <br> eliminated [1]-69:1 <br> ELLER [15]-1:14, <br> 3:18, 7:5, 70:4, <br> 100:5, 115:23, <br> 117:25, 118:13, | ```127:23, 128:7, 128:10, 128:15, 129:7, 129:10, 131:23 Eller [3]-5:4, 7:4, 131:22 elsewhere [1] - 84:19 embodied [1] - 81:12 emergencies [1] - 61:4 emergency [3] - 80:24, 81:3, 81:6 empathize [1] - 93:4 emphasize [2] - 24:13, 80:19 emphasized [1] - 95:2 employed [1] - 133:8 EMS [2]-61:10, 111:12 encourage [1] - 83:21 end [6] - 40:13, 75:9, 96:24, 99:22, 100:9, 114:22 energy [1] - 73:14 engagement [1] - 127:19 engineer [14]-21:13, 23:2, 35:22, 39:12, 40:4, 40:5, 56:25, 63:5, 63:6, 65:11, 113:11, 113:16, 121:22, 126:10 Engineer [1] - 2:19 engineer's [2]-22:2, 22:23 engineering [3] - 76:20, 77:15, 77:19 engineers [3] - 76:22, 77:17, 113:16 Englewood [1] - 53:13 enhance [1] - 60:6 enhanced [1] - 73:25 enjoyed [1] - 127:17 ensue [2]-76:9, 95:23 ensure [2]-61:10, 77:22 entail [1]-112:16 enter [1] - 91:23 entered [1]-41:2 entering [1] - 75:12 entire [2]-67:8, 122:23 entitled [1] - 51:12 entity \([1]\) - \(52: 2\) enumerated [2] - 81:14, 81:15 environmentally \({ }^{[1]}\) - 61:21 environmentally- constrained [1] -``` | ```61:21 environs [1]-45:14 erosion [2]-22:15, 22:17 especially [2] - 61:4, 117:4 ESQUIRE [3]-2:2, 2:4, 2:8 established [1] - 104:24 estate [1]-41:14 et \({ }_{[1]}-11: 24\) evaluate [3]-74:8, 74:19, 98:20 evening [10]-7:24, 8:3, 8:8, 8:16, 9:4, 10:24, 11:8, 11:10, 57:10, 129:18 event [2]-16:24, 61:18 events [2]-25:13, 122:13 everyday [1] - 75:20 evidence [5] - 55:5, 69:7, 104:10, 104:12, 104:22 evil [1] - 118:18 evils [1] - 111:9 evolved [1] - 80:17 exact [1] - 128:5 exactly [2] - 95:5, 114:23``` EXAMINATION ${ }_{[2]}$ - 11:6, 42:13 Examination [2]-3:3, 3:3 examination [3] - 50:6, 63:4, 71:20 examine [1]-8:24 example [2]-20:6, 72:3 examples [2]-118:2, 118:5 exceed [2] - 32:13, 35:23 exceeds [1] - 39:4 excellent [1]-23:10 excerpt [2] - 94:1, 94:18 excess [1] - 91:9 exchange [1]-28:22 exclusive ${ }_{[1]}-14: 19$ excuse [4]-13:7, 14:20, 33:11, 70:4 excusing [1] - 7:18 exemption [1]-52:1 exercise [1] - 66:23 exhibits [7]-69:10, 69:12, 69:14, 69:19, 104:11, 104:25 | ```Exhibits [1]-3:24 existing [5]-21:2, 22:1, 22:3, 65:1, 67:6 exists [1] - 68:16 expand [1]-40:1 expanded \({ }_{[1]}\) - 128:7 expansive [1] - 76:2 expect \([3]-79: 4,83: 1\), 120:16 expecting \([1]-83: 1\) expense [4]-63:10, 97:2, 107:16, 107:21 experience [4]- 17:22, 75:4, 81:19, 127:16 expert \([8]-25: 9,29: 5\), 44:25, 46:6, 62:22, 62:25, 69:6, 79:25 Expiration [1] - 133:16 expire [1]-112:20 explain [3]-65:12, 104:1, 109:23 exploration [1]-24:1 exposure [3]-90:13, 91:12, \(99: 19\) express [1]-127:13 expressed [2]-85:18, 89:24 expression [1]-84:8 extent [3]-18:3, 54:15, 55:17 extra [3] - 39:17, 60:4, 99:4 eyes [3] - 77:6, 109:21, 110:2``` ```Facebook [1] - 110:21 faced [2]-80:7, 93:7 facilities [12]-23:12, 23:18, 54:10, 72:18, 73:16, 75:15, 75:23, 81:2, 94:20, 94:23, 123:21 facility [10]-1:6, 19:23, 71:10, 73:14, 88:14, 89:2, 99:10, 114:10, 124:2, 124:9 fact [17] - 36:14, 43:12, 44:15, 44:17, 45:7, 46:14, 46:16, 46:25, 51:20, 60:1, 62:16, 64:21, 70:7, 78:5, 78:16, 80:1, 111:17 factor [2]-56:11, 127:5``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

| factors [1]-128 |  |  | Gail [1] - 7:2 | ground [1]-72:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| facts $[4]-16: 22,93: 8$, $105 \cdot 25,110 \cdot 23$ | file [4] - 15:4, 15:7 | forgot [1] - 35: | GALLAGHER [6] | group [2] - 73:13, |
| ctual [1]-62:2 | filed [1] - 59:14 | 85:21, 1 | 1, 7: | 25 |
| fair [3] - 10:16, 32: | final [1] - 50:10 | ely [1] - 74:10 | Gallagher [3]-4:2 | ng [1] - 75: |
|  | 7: | forward [7]-85:20 | 6:25, 7:19 | 位 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair [13] - 48:12, } \\ & 48: 18,52: 19,53: 19 \\ & 53: 22,58: 8,58: 18 \\ & 58: 19,85: 5,85: 12 \\ & 90: 2,90: 6,91: 9 \end{aligned}$ | FINANCIAL [1] - 1:11 | 117:1, 117:3, 117:13 | $39: 1$ | 28:20, 66:21, 121:10 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { financial }[4]-11: 23, \\ 17: 16,133: 8,133: 9 \end{gathered}$$\text { finest }[1]-23: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { foundation }[6]-25: 2, \\ & 25: 24,25: 25,26: 12, \\ & 46: 8,61: 20 \end{aligned}$ | gas [1]-88:12 general [11]-23:1, 30:12, 73:11, 84:1, | ```guidance [2]-72:23, 74:12 guide [1] - 84:9 guided [1] - 85:6 guys [1] - 109:21``` |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { falls }[3]-21: 5,73: 17, \\ & 87: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fire }[5]-26: 4,26: 13, \\ & 60: 14,60: 18,88: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { four }[7]-63: 16,64: 12, \\ 70: 10,74: 18,95: 7, \end{gathered}$ | 94:13, 95:15, 95:16, 95:20, 123:21, 125:1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { familiar }[6]-24: 3, \\ & 24: 21,24: 23,37: 13, \\ & 106: 14,113: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { firehouse [2] - 126:15, } \\ & \text { 126:17 } \end{aligned}$ |  | generally [3] - 21:5, 87:16, 118:8 |  |
|  |  | four-part [1] - 95:7 <br> four-prong [1]-74:18 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { families [2] - 14:17, } \\ & \text { 121:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fireman }[1]-108: 1 \\ & \text { firm }[3]-8: 1,9: 8, \\ & 52: 13 \end{aligned}$ | fourth [3]-85:25, 91:1, 99:18 | generate [1]-96:10 <br> generation [2]-83:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { habitat }[2]-62: 2 \text {, } \\ & 62: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { family }[12]-36: 21, \\ 38: 5,43: 11,78: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { first }[10]-8: 17,13: 22, \\ 16: 16,50: 22,57: 15, \end{array}$ | Franklin [2]-93:21, | 120:5 | ```Hackensack [4] - 28:12, 104:4, 105:5, 106:6 half [4]-66:9, 66:13, 99:17, 119:25``` |
| 1, 89:7, 91:1 |  |  | 61:7, 67:13, 67:1 |  |
| , 96:11, 110: | 23117 | freedom [1] - 127:12 | $7: 1$ |  |
| 113:23, 116:19 | 127:1 | [1]-93.20 | generat |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { far [5]-25:8, 70:13, } \\ & 119: 11,120: 20, \\ & 128: 4 \end{aligned}$ | fit [2]-44:14, 56:10 <br> fitting [1] - 114:11 <br> five [18]-14:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { friend }[1]-113: 1 \\ & \text { friends }[4]-77: 17, \\ & \text { 109:8, 109:11, 110:5 } \end{aligned}$ | GEORGE [1] - 3:2 <br> George [1] - 42:11 <br> Gerrit [7]-19:25, | Hall [1] - 4:7 <br> hall [2] - 88:23, 89:12 <br> hamstrung [1] - 72:6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAR }[5]-33: 4,33: 6, \\ & 33: 12,38: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:23, 15:15, 48:14, } \\ & 56: 8,56: 13,78: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FROHLICH [36] - 2:21, } \\ 4: 13,4: 15,4: 17, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 13,23: 21,25: 1, \\ & 26: 3,28: 5,28: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hand }[2]-39: 11 \text {, } \\ & 54: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| arm [1] - 108:20 | 86:17, $86: 19,96: 11$,$121: 8,121: 20$, | $4: 19,4: 21,4: 23 \text {, }$ <br> 4:25, 5:2, 5:4, 5:6, | giant ${ }_{[1]}-108: 16$ <br> given [9]-17:25, 38:2, | handle [1] - 64:23 <br> handled [2]-57:20, |
| rms [1] - 120:7 |  |  |  |  |
| Farms [2]-93:21 | $129: 24,129: 25$ | $6: 15,6: 17,6: 19,$ |  | 81:19 |
|  | 129:24, 129:25 $130: 5,132: 7$ |  | 59:7, 72:8, 73:21, | hang [1] - 82:10 <br> Happy [1]-10:24 |
| her" [1] - 13:25 | five-lot [1] - 86:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 21,6: 23,6: 25,7: 2, \\ & 7: 4,7: 6,7: 8,7: 10, \end{aligned}$ | global [2]-64:7 | happy [2] - 9:5, 53:12 |
| [1] - 78:14 | five/two [1]-132: | 7:4, 7:6, 7:8, 7:10 |  |  |
| [2] - 6:1, 6:8 | fix [1] - 28:1 | 1:13, 131:1 | globally [1] -64: | 97:8, 122 |
| façade [1]-56:1 | flag ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4: | 131:18, 131:20 | glove [1] - 39:11 | hardest [1] - 122:1 <br> hardship [1] - 117:11 |
| fear [1]-90:22 | flip [2] - 124:6, 126:22 <br> flood [1] - 122:11 | 32:1, 132.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { goal }[5]-19: 24,29: 7, \\ 37: 15,84: 14,85: 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| features [1]-24:19 |  | 32:1, 132:3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haring }[7]-19: 25, \\ & 23: 13,23: 21,25: 1, \\ & 26: 3,28: 5,28: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { February [2]-8:5, } \\ & 69: 9 \end{aligned}$ | floodplain [1] - 78:1 floor [9] - 7:23, 31:6, | front [2] - 54:6, 82:16 frustration [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { goals [5] - 27:7, } 84: 7, \\ 84: 10,84: 14,84: 25 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { federal }[2]-19: 12, \\ & 29: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 31:14, 31:16, 31:17, | 117:10Fuel [1]-60:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { God }[3]-96: 13,120: 4, \\ & 126: 15 \end{aligned}$ | hat $[1]-82: 10$ <br> hats [1] - 10:6 |
|  | 32:9, 32:13, 40:14, |  |  |  |
| fees [1]-114:22 | flora <br> lora [1] - 78:14 <br> flow [3]-21:2, 21:19, 65:4 | full [2] - 60:15, 62:23 <br> function [2]-71:13, | golf [1] - 92:22 <br> Google [1] - 126:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Haverilla }[4]-3: 10 \\ 4: 23,6: 23,131: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| feet [15]-31:19, |  |  |  |  |
| 32:23, 33:25, 34:17 |  | 74:11 <br> functionally [1] - 94:4 | governing [1] - 65:8 <br> government [1]-80:8 | HAVERILLA [18] - $1: 13,3: 16,4: 24$, |
| 34:18, 39:6, 56:14 56:16, 62:12, 66:1 | flow [3]-21:2, 21:19, $65: 4$ <br> flows [1]-65:2 | functionally ${ }_{[1]}$ - 94:4 functions [1] - 75:20 | government [1] - 80:8 | $1: 13,3: 16,4: 24$ |
| $99: 23,122: 11$ | flows [1] - 65:2 <br> focus [2] - 17:19 | functions [1]-75:2 | governs [1]-49:2 | 6:24, 58:16, 58:22, <br> 59:1, 59:4, 92:7, <br> 92:11, 92:14, 92:16, |
| 126:9, 126:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { focus [2] - 17:19, } \\ & 94: 22 \end{aligned}$ | fundamentally $[1]$ - 73:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { grant }[4]-30: 7,44: 13, \\ & 76: 9,117: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| fell ${ }_{[1]}-119: 2$ | folks [1] - 14:9 <br> follow [8]-8:3, 17:3, | funding $[1]-29: 16$ funds $[1]-45 \cdot 25$ | granted [1] - 51:17 <br> granting [2]-30:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 19,92: 24,110: 8, \\ & 131: 3,131: 5,131: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| felt [1] - 72:6 |  | funds [1] - 45:25 furniture [1] - 98:7 |  |  |
| FEMALE [6]-68:12, $68 \cdot 13,69 \cdot 25,78 \cdot 24$ | follow [8]-8:3, 17:3, 21:11, 71:24, 71:25, <br> 72:4, 72:10, 106:1 |  | $95: 23$ <br> grants [1]-29:3 | headwall [1]-21:22 <br> Health [1]-43:19 |
| $96: 13,100: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:4, 72:10, 106:1 } \\ & \text { follow-up [1]-8:3 } \end{aligned}$ | furthermore [1] - 79:7 future [3]-86:15, |  | Health [1] - 43:19 health [1]-51:20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { few }[5]-58: 1,71: 1, \\ 111: 21,113: 9,11 \end{array}$ | footage [1] - 44:1 <br> force ${ }_{[1]}$ - 62:23 <br> foregoing [1]-133:5 |  | 75:1, 76:23, 94:21, <br> 95:3, 111:14, 127:20 <br> greater [2]-38:2, 52:8 | healthcare [1]-97:24 <br> hear [12]-8:9, 11:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fewer }[4]-38: 11, \\ & 38: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { greater }[2]-38: 2,52: 8 \\ & \text { greatly }[1]-24: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:13, 63:13, 99:5, } \\ & \text { 100:17, 100:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
| field [1] - 65:9 | 108:10, 109:1 | GAIL [1] - 2:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { greatly }[1]-24: 11 \\ & \text { grew }[1]-120: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.

| 116:11, 130:3 <br> heard [21] - 20:25, <br> 53:3, 56:25, 63:17, <br> 65:15, 65:16, 68:2, <br> 71:21, 72:8, 74:15, <br> 75:1, 82:12, 87:4, <br> 93:19, 93:24, 94:5, <br> 97:15, 105:17, <br> 110:10, 113:14, <br> 130:13 <br> hearing [6] - 5:20, <br> 7:13, 13:22, 17:8, <br> 72:7, 121:16 <br> hearings $[7]-8: 5,8: 6$, <br> 19:21, 69:8, 69:16, <br> 117:3, 117:5 <br> heavily [1] - 16:3 <br> heavy [1] - 54:20 <br> height $[4]-31: 11$, <br> 40:15, 66:7, 66:10 <br> held [2] - 94:2, 95:14 <br> help [1] - 105:21 <br> hereby [1] - 133:5 <br> heritage [1] - 24:10 <br> higher [3] - 52:14, <br> 52:20, 86:10 <br> highway [1] - 126:18 <br> Himeji [2] - 68:20, <br> 87:21 <br> himself [2] - 7:20, <br> 7:22 <br> historic [39]-19:11, <br> 20:12, 23:12, 23:18, <br> 24:15, 25:8, 25:9, <br> 25:13, 26:15, 26:21, <br> 27:11, 27:17, 28:15, <br> 29:3, 29:4, 29:5, <br> 29:8, 29:11, 30:1, <br> 44:24, 44:25, 45:1, <br> 45:16, 46:6, 61:13, <br> 61:15, 61:20, 76:18, <br> 79:8, 79:22, 79:24, <br> 79:25, 80:20, 96:25, <br> 111:19, 121:11, <br> 121:13, 121:17, <br> 126:7 <br> history [1] - 90:21 <br> hoe [1] - 82:16 <br> Holbrook [1] - 60:1 <br> hold [1] - 82:19 <br> holiday [1] - 9:6 <br> home [17] - 14:10, <br> 45:4, 45:7, 45:10, <br> 46:3, 46:7, 52:7, <br> 61:13, 61:15, 61:20, <br> 73:13, 93:18, 93:22, <br> 94:13, 94:19, <br> 102:19, 106:11 <br> homeowner [1] - 60:1 <br> homes [6]-91:19, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hurting }[3]-122: 15 \text {, } \\ & 122: 16 \\ & \text { hypothetical }[1]- \\ & 22: 19 \end{aligned}$I <br>  <br> ID $[1]-133: 4$ <br> idea $[3]-36: 18,84: 17$, <br> 101:16 <br> IDENT/EVID $[1]-3: 23$ <br> identified $[3]-19: 10$, <br> 87:8 <br> identify $[5]-76: 7$, <br> $76: 8,83: 13,95: 10$ <br> identifying $[2]-16: 17$, <br> 95:22 <br> ignore $[3]-78: 16$, <br> $88: 8,88: 9$ <br> imagine $[1]-75: 16$ <br> impact $[20]-20: 21$, <br> $22: 10,22: 18,22: 21$, <br> 22:24, 27:12, 37:8, <br> $38: 3,38: 6,38: 11$, <br> $38: 15,38: 17,66: 24$, <br> $76: 13,79: 5,97: 21$, <br> 111:11, $111: 12$, <br> 117:16 <br> impacted $[1]-59: 10$ <br> impacts $[7]-54: 19$, <br> $76: 11,79: 16,80: 24$, <br> $81: 9,87: 8,88: 9$ <br> impair $[1]-26: 24$ <br> imperious $[1]-39: 23$ <br> impervious $[2]-39: 4$, <br> $39: 13$ <br> importance $[1]-71: 24$ <br> important $[14]-15: 19$, <br> $24: 17,63: 14,71: 11$, <br> $72: 13,74: 23,76: 10$, <br> $80: 1,83: 14,83: 15$, <br> $84: 15,84: 22,94: 25$, <br> $125: 17$ <br> impose $[1]-81: 10$ <br> imposing $[1]-96: 19$ <br> imposition $[2]-64: 15$, <br> $128: 25$ <br> impossible $[1]-82: 8$ <br> improved $[3]-22: 5$, <br> $40: 14,65: 19$ <br> improving $[2]-59: 25$, <br> $79: 21$ <br> IN $[1]-1: 3$ <br> in-home <br> inclined $[1]-14: 10$ <br> include $[3]-18: 11$, <br> 19:2, 23:13 <br> included $[4]-18: 6$, <br> 18:13, 19:4, 19:6 <br> includes $[1]-73: 12$ | ```including [3]-5:17, 8:11, \(98: 8\) inconvenience [1] - 114:5 increase [2]-67:3, 95:25 increasing [1] - 65:3 independent [3] - 57:17, 115:6, 115:9 indicate [2]-13:9, 79:15 indicated [4]-9:13, 13:3, 29:6, 46:5 indulge [2]-50:12, 71:2 industry [2]-97:25, 98:4 information [1] - 15:16 inherent [2] - 82:24, 95:4 inherently [23]- 16:23, 54:13, 63:12, 68:22, 72:17, 73:2, 73:6, 73:8, 73:17, 74:5, 74:9, 81:22, 82:23, 83:2, 93:19, 94:6, 94:16, 95:12, 96:3, 99:11, 102:7, 102:20, 118:11 initial [1]-22:4 instances [1] - 31:1 instead [1] - 21:20 instruction [1]-8:20 intact [1] - 29:19 intended [1] - 84:9 intensity [3]-33:16, 33:18, \(37: 7\) intensive [1] - 94:20 intent [4]-25:15, 26:24, 27:20, 29:17 interest [16]-16:18, 16:19, 16:25, 63:19, 63:25, 64:2, 64:8, 74:25, 75:24, 76:4, 87:7, 95:11, 95:13, 116:15, 133:8, 133:9 Interested [1]-2:11 interested [2] - 48:3, 55:22 interesting [2] - 20:2, 88:4 interfere [1] - 96:24 intermediate [1] - 62:12 interplay [1] - 31:1 Interpretation [1] - 62:11 intervenor [1] - 128:2 intervention [1] -``` | ```91:24 introduced [1] - 69:19 inventory [2]-115:6, 115:8 investigating \([1]\) - 61:6 investigation [1] - 65:25 involve [1] - 94:20 involved [6] - 59:24, 59:25, 77:2, 93:21, 116:2, 133:8 issue [11]-51:4, 53:13, 53:23, 53:25, 76:20, 77:12, 77:19, 87:15, 113:4, 114:8, 114:13 issued [1] - 78:5 issues [13]-8:21, 9:18, 54:9, 64:22, 67:15, 77:14, 77:23, 79:19, 81:24, 82:8, 111:22, 121:8, 121:15 it'll [1] - 85:23 item [1] - 67:22 items [3]-5:17, 7:13, 44:16 iterations [1]-27:6 itself [2] - 69:4, 84:4``` ```JANUARY \(_{[1]}-1: 2\) Jersey [16] - 1:21, 2:6, 2:10, 8:2, 9:9, 24:2, 43:18, 73:5, 114:21, 126:11, 129:15, 133:4, 133:5, 133:7, 133:10, 133:15 job [6]-9:17, 10:8, 51:2, 87:13, 93:11, 113:18 JOHN [2] - 2:20, 3:8 John [4] - 97:19, 99:15, 117:5, 118:1 journey [1]-127:14 judge [3]-82:3, 102:18, 104:4 judgement [1]-51:9 judges [1]-71:14 judicial [3]-71:13, 72:11, 74:11 July [4]-85:22, 86:9, 89:24, 90:14 jumping [1] - 24:7 June [1] - 40:18 jurisdiction [3] - 77:4, 82:1, 106:18 justified.. [1] - 94:9``` ```JANUARY \(_{[1]}-1: 2\) Jersey [16] - 1:21, 2:6, 2:10, 8:2, 9:9, 24:2, 43:18, 73:5, 114:21, 126:11, 129:15, 133:4, 133:5, 133:7, 133:10, 133:15 job [6]-9:17, 10:8, 51:2, 87:13, 93:11, 113:18 JOHN [2] - 2:20, 3:8 John [4] - 97:19, 99:15, 117:5, 118:1 journey [1]-127:14 judge [3]-82:3, 102:18, 104:4 judgement [1]-51:9 judges [1]-71:14 judicial [3]-71:13, 72:11, 74:11 July [4]-85:22, 86:9, 89:24, 90:14 jumping [1] - 24:7 June [1] - 40:18 jurisdiction [3] - 77:4, 82:1, 106:18 justified.. [1] - 94:9``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| justifies [1] - 17:10 | Land [5] - 64:3, 70:6, $70: 14,70: 19,95: 21$ <br> landmarks [1]-24:16 | leverage [1]-129:10 <br> License [1] - 133:15 <br> licensed [2] - 76:22, 113:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:23, 98:24, 98:25, } \\ & \text { 115:7, 119:9 } \\ & \text { looked }[7]-12: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:21, 103:23, } \\ & \text { 104:1, 104:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }[3]-27: 15,98: 7 \text {, } \\ & \text { 111:19 } \\ & \text { keeping }[2]-61: 15, \\ & 61: 17 \end{aligned}$ | landmarks [1] - 24:16 <br> landscape [1] - 63:6 <br> lane [1] - 60:4 | 113:11 <br> licensure [1]-51:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 5,59: 18,64: 22, \\ & 67: 3,67: 6,90: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:1, 105:11, } \\ & \text { 106:24, 107:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | lies [3]-109:9 | 00 | 107:10, 107:14, |
|  | [1] - 94: | $109: 22,110$ life | 0:9, 70:10 | 107:18, 107:20, |
| keeps [1] - 29:19 <br> Keil [1]-131:18 <br> keil [2] - 4:19, 6:19 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { life }[3]-75: 17,124 \\ & 124: 11 \end{aligned}$ | looks [5] - 59:16, | 128:20, 132: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { large }[3]-38: 8,95: 4, \\ & 111: 4 \end{aligned}$ | lift [1] - 25:1 <br> lights [1] - 120:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 16,68: 9,104: 6, \\ & 115: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mamary }[4]-3: 4,4: 15, \\ & 6: 15,132: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | arger [1] - 112:23 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KEIL }[8]-1: 14,3: 17, \\ & 4: 20,6: 20,115: 4, \\ & 115: 17,115: 22, \\ & 131: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last }[20]-8: 5,10: 1, \\ & 10: 3,11: 13,25: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{limb}[1]-116: 18 \\ & \text { limit }[4]-32: 9,32: 14, \end{aligned}$ | lose [1] - 125:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mammary }[2]-5: 12, \\ & 5: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | loses [1] - 79:24 |  |
|  | 29:6, 41:1, 61:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limit }[4]-32: 9,32: 14, \\ & 33: 10,39: 5 \end{aligned}$ | [2]-111: | Man [1] - 108:21 management [6] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { key }[3]-121: 8, \\ & 121: 15,121: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:2, 111:21, } \\ & \text { 115:5, 119:1, 119:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limited }[2]-8: 14 \text {, } \\ & 73: 12 \\ & \text { line }[4]-45: 17,66: 1, \end{aligned}$ | loss [2] - 76:17, 78:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 24,78: 7,81: 3 \\ & 113: 5,113: 8,122: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | lost [2]-29:2, 80:5 LOULOUDIS [6] - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { kids [2] - 108:19, } \\ & 125: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:10, 124:7, } \\ & \text { 129:19, 130:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { line }[4]-45: 17,66: 1, \\ & 75: 10,81: 18 \end{aligned}$ | LOULOUDIS [6] - 1:15, 3:19, 5:7, 7:7, | manager [1] - 126:14 <br> mandatory [2]-41:21, |
| kind $[16]-66: 5,71: 3$ | laughter [6]-63:22, | lined [1] - 68: <br> lines [1] - 60: | 121:1, 121:12 | 51:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 72: 1,79: 5 \\ & 89: 5,89: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 24,115: 21, \\ & 124: 23,127: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { list }[4]-15: 1,15: 14, \\ & 59: 20,131: 7 \end{aligned}$ | Louloudis [2]-5:6, 7:6 | Mandatory [1] - 51:13 maneuver [1]-125:3 |
| 4, | 129:16 | listed [2] - 44:9, 64:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { love }[2]-111: 5,119: 7 \\ & \text { loved }[1]-124: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manner [3]-28:6, } \\ & 28: 8,29: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:9, 12 | LAURA [2] - 133:3 | lists [1] - 44:7 <br> literature [1]-14:13 |  |  |
| 14, 1 | 133:1 |  | low [2] - 37:16, 37:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { marginalize }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 85: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 129:11 | law [17]-34:22, 64:8 | litigated [1] - 53:13live [3]-122:5, | low-density [1] |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { kinds }[5]-11: 23, \\ & 77: 25,80: 8,88: 13, \\ & 89: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 68:20, 71:19, 72:1 |  | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { marginalized }[1] \text { - } \\ & 88: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 72:15, 72:21, 72:2 | 124:1! | lower [2]-38:14 |  |
|  | 72:24, 73:4, 73:5, <br> 74:12, 76:1, 84:4, <br> 87:18, 93:17, 104:5 | lived [2] - 110:12,110:13 | 66:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { marked [2] - 104:11, } \\ & 104: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:13 |  |  | lucrative [1]-112:15 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Klein's }[1]-108: 20 \\ & \text { knock }[3]-80: 4,97: 5, \\ & 126: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Law [6]-4:2, 64:3, } \\ & 70: 6,70: 14,70: 19, \\ & 95: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { living }[30]-1: 6,12: 15, \\ 12: 20,14: 16,18: 9, \end{gathered}$ | M | Marked [1] - 3:24 <br> market [8]-15:24, |
|  |  | 42:22, 43:9, 52:7,54:10, 56:5, 57:17, | MAGGIO [10]-1:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:5, 16:7, 17:10, } \\ & 75: 25,83: 19,83: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| knocked ${ }_{[1]}-91: 25$ knocking $[1]-58: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lawsuit }[2]-117: 17, \\ & 127: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 12,4: 18,6: 18, \\ & 97: 17,99: 14,99: 21, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| knowing [1] - 48:3 | $\text { lay }[1]-93: 11$ | 57:20, 63:19, 64:6, $68: 23,71: 9,72: 18$ | 100:4, 100:7, 131:17 | Market [1] - 9:8 <br> market-rate [1]-83:22 |
| knowledge [6] - | learning [1] - 24:20 <br> least [8]-20:21, | 73:15, 73:16, 75:11, 75:20, 81:21, 88:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maggio [4] - 3:10, } \\ & \text { 4:17, 6:17, 131:16 } \end{aligned}$ | market-rate [1]-83:22 <br> mass [3]-33:19, 34:3, |
| 25 |  |  |  | 38:17 |
| $98: 1,113: 15,11$ <br> knows [2] - 90:18 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mahwah [2]-52:12, } \\ & 57: 16 \end{aligned}$ | massing [1] - 100:1 master [1]-19:3 |
| knows [2] - | least [8]-20:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 20,98: 9,99: 10, \\ & 124: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 11,116: 22, \\ & \text { 118:17, 118:18 } \end{aligned}$ | LLC ${ }_{[3]}-1: 4,1: 20,2: 8$ loading [3] - 40:15, 44:17, 44:18 | mailed [1] - 62:14 <br> main [1] - 87:20 <br> maintain [1] - 37:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Master [26] - 17:8, } \\ & \text { 18:6, 18:24, 19:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | leave [4]-80:15, 87:3, |  |  |  |
|  |  | local [2] - 65:6, 70:7 | maintaining [1] - | $23: 5,23: 17,24: 4,$ |
| lack | [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { located }[2]-67: 14 \text {, } \\ & \text { 113:19 } \\ & \text { location }[6]-26: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 24.1 | 4:22, 26:25, 27:6, |
| Lake | legacy [1] - 80:5 |  | or [1] - 127 | 29:7, 37:11 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { legal }[4]-77: 12,^{\text {101:19, 114:22 }} \\ \text { legislature }[1]-86: 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | make-ready ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ [ 60:23 | 7:15, 45:6, 79:10 |
| Lakes [2]-93:21 106:15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { location }[6]-26: 12, \\ & 28: 11,80: 22,88: 18, \end{aligned}$ 89:2. 126:8 | 60:23 | 84:6, 84:7, 85:3, |
| 106:15 Lakeview [11]-8:11 | legislature [1]-86:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:2, 126:8 } \\ & \text { locational }[1]-89: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALE [4] - 70:1, } \\ & \text { 100:19, 105:8, 105:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 6,84: 7,85: 3, \\ & 123: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10,9: 19,20: 22, \\ & 21: 8,22: 14,50: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 13,36: 5,38: 24, \\ & 39: 1,46: 25,67: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { locations [2]-58:14, } \\ & 61: 3 \end{aligned}$ | MAMARY [38] - 1:11,$3: 14,5: 15,5: 24,6: 6$ | MATTER ${ }_{[1]}-1: 3$ matter [9]-5:21, 7:14, |
| 108:7, 108:12, | $\text { 90:10, } 96: 10$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 3,101: 19,112: 2, \\ & \text { 112:5, 113:3, 114:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:18, 117:18 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOI [3] - 62:20, } 62: 21, \\ & 62: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 49:6, 101:15, |  |
| land [14]-14:15, | Letter [1]-62:11letter $[1]-43: 17$ | look [20]-17:15, 36:1, | 1:23, 102:7 | 132:9 |
| 17:23, 30:23, 41 |  | $37: 11,44: 16,44: 20$ | 102:12, 102:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { matters [1] }-84: 24 \\ & \max _{[1]}-34: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 53:11, 73:5, 76:1, | letter [1]-43:17 level [2]-22:21, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:2, 103:8, } \\ & \text { 103:14, 103:18, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 90:1, 90:14, 92 | 123:14 | 66:18, 68:6, 73:4, 98:17, 98:18, 98:22, |  | aximum [6] - 31:1 |
| 93:25, 110:19, | levels [1] - 96:7 |  |  | 31:18, 31:19, 34:25, |
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| 40:15 | , 56:5, 63:20 | most [7] - 93:20 | 91:6, 91:11, 91:13, | 9:1, 10:3, 10:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mayor [2] - 109:14 } \\ & \text { 110:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64: 6,68: 23,124: 8 \\ \text { mention }[2]-94: 5, \end{gathered}$ | 104:7, 110:1 116:9, 123:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 15,91: 16,91: 18 \\ & 1: 20,92: 10,92: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 10,42: 14,47: 3, \\ & : 9,47: 11,47: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| McElwee [8] | 115: | 126 | $2: 1$ | 47: |
| 14:13, 14:14, 14:18, | mentioned [3] - 17:20, | mostly [2] - 84:10 | 93:2, 93:14, 96:1 | 48:10, 48:23, 49 |
| 14:21, 16:4, 63:5 |  | m | :14, 99:20, 99:21, | $0: 13,57: 13,57: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { McElwee's }[1]-17: 8 \\ & \text { mean }[21]-10: 1,26: 5, \\ & 28: 5,28: 10,30: 10, \\ & 54: 24,73: 1,73: 2, \\ & 73: 19,73: 24,74: 4, \\ & 75: 19,82: 24,84: 3, \\ & 84: 4,84: 21,86: 4, \\ & 88: 20,90: 20,91: 14 \end{aligned}$ | curio [1]-94:1 | 120:5 | 99:25, 100:4, 100: | $58: 2,58: 6,58: 16$ |
|  | met [2]-65:23, 113:13 | mother/d | 100:7, 101:9, 101:22, 102:1, | 58:19, 58:22, 58:2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MICHAEL [2] - 1:11, } \\ & 1: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { motion }[4]-5: 23 \\ & 5: 24,6: 5,6: 6 \\ & \text { mountain }[1]-108: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:11, 102:14, } \\ & \text { 102:19, 102:23, } \\ & \text { 103:1, 103:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 5,63: 24,68: 15, \\ & 69: 15,69: 18,70: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Michael ${ }_{[1]}-5: 18$ <br> mid-80s [1]-123:11 <br> middle [1]-125:5 <br> might [6]-14:1, 20:5, <br> 39:25, 56:13, 105:11 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 7,92: 11,92: 14, \\ & 92: 16,92: 19,92: 24, \\ & 100: 24,101: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | mountain [1]-108:16 move [9] - 30:1, 67:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:1, 103:7, } \\ & \text { 103:13, 103:17, } \\ & \text { 103:20, 103:22, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 76:3, 116:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 20,103: 22 \\ & 103: 25,104: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:24, 101:3, } \\ & \text { 101:8, 101:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { means }[5]-63: 14, \\ & 73: 2,73: 8,73: 20, \\ & 84: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  | 126:17, 126:21 | 0, 104:20 | 101:12, 110:8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mike }[3]-115: 25 \text {, } \\ & \text { 116:23, 120:7 } \\ & \text { miles }[4]-14: 22 \text {, } \\ & 14: 24,15: 15,124: 15 \end{aligned}$ | moved [3] - 45:12 | 104:23, 105:10 | 121:1, 121:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { measurement }[1] \text { - } \\ & 66: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 123:2, 123:17 } \\ \text { moves [1] }-21: 5 \end{gathered}$ | 105:13, 105:18 105:19, 105:23 | 131:3, 131:5, 131:9, |
|  |  |  | 105:19, 105:23 | 131:11, 131:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { media }[2]-110: 22, \\ & 112: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { moving }[7]-26: 11, \\ & 27: 14,28: 4,61: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 105:24, 106:3, |  |
|  | mind [6]-22:18, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:20, 131:22, } \\ & \text { 131:24, 131:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 45:14 | resident [3]-67:24, | $8: 11,108: 1$ | search [2]-15:3, 15:7 | 88:25, 89:13 |
| remains [3]-9:3, | 107:25, 123:1 | 1:13, 114:5 | season [1]-9:6 | serving [1]-127:15 |
| 83:6, 86:5 | residential [6]-37:17, | 125:10 | seat [2]-121:24, | set $[19]-16: 22,41: 1$, |
| remand [1] - 129:8 <br> remanded [1]-82:4 | $51: 14,53: 1,96: 1,$ $96: 11,114: 13$ | $\operatorname{road}[4]-59: 14,77: 2,$ | 127:11 | 41:17, 41:21, 42:4, 48:14, 48:15, 49:3, |
| remanded [1] - 82:4 <br> remarks [1] - 50:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:11, 114:13 } \\ & \text { residents [12]-13:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77: 5,79: 20 \\ \text { roads [1] - 120:6 } \end{gathered}$ | second [14]-5:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 14,48: 15,49: 3, \\ & 51: 24,51: 25,52: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| remember [8]-15:1, | $13: 15,75: 14,84: 2$ | ROBERT [2] - 1:15 | $9,64: 13,85: 6,$ | 52:8, 52:15, 52:20, |
| 38:14, 40:22, 67:2, | 98:5, 98:9, 98:19, | $2{ }^{2}$ | $: 15,116: 24$ | 5:5, 53:8, 58:17 |
| 77:1, 77:24, 86:19, | 113:2, 113:14 | rocks [1] - 108:2 | 31:3, 131:4, 131:5, | 58:18, 65:22 |
| 125:8 | 113:19, 114:9, | roll [2] - 4:11, 6:1 | 131:6 | Set [1]-51:13 |
| remind [1] - 18:5 | 122:16 | rolling [2]-109:21, | CRETARY [1] - | set-aside [16]-41:17, |
| remotely [1] - 118:22 | resolution [7]-64:23, | 10:2 | 1:11 | 41:21, 42:4, 48:14, |
| removal [1] - 78:20 | 81:15, 106:3, | room [3]-45:2, 45:3, | Secretary [1]-2:21 | 48:15, 49:3, 51:24, |
| removed [2]-109:15, | 5:19, 125:2 | 109:20 | ction [1] - 51:11 | 51:25, 52:5, 52:8 |
| 9:17 | 0:24, 130:25 | round [9]-85:7, 85:8, | secure [1]-29:3 | 52:15, 52:20, 53:5, |
| rendering [1]-114:10 | resolve [1]-9:18 | $85: 21,85: 25,90: 21,$ | $\text { see }[17]-43: 3,65: 25 \text {, }$ | 53:8, 58:17, 58:18 |
| renders [1]-104:14 | resolved [1] - 77:15 | $90: 23,91: 2,91: 4,$ | $66: 5,67: 6,69: 9,$ | Set-Aside [1] - 51:13 |
| renewed [1]-5:20 | resources [3] - 11:24, | 99:18 | 86:16, 111:3, 111:5, | setback [1] - 67:7 |
| rental [1] - 48:16 reorganization [2] | $\begin{gathered} 81: 6,84: 19 \\ \text { respect }[3]-34: 8, \end{gathered}$ | row [1] - 82:16 <br> rows [1] - $56: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:25, 114:13, } \\ & \text { 118:20, 119:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { setbacks }[2]-44: 7 \text {, } \\ & 88: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $5: 17,5: 23$ | 62:21, 75:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rows }[1]-56: 17 \\ & \text { RSIS }[1]-39: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 119:7, 120:4, | setting [1] - 68:17 |
| repeat [1]-31:23 | respects [1]-77:12 | rules [1] - 85:24 | 120:23, 122:7, | settled [2]-28:7, |
| repetitive [3] - 71:5, $97: 15,126: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respond }[3]-6: 2,6: 9 \text {, } \\ & 61: 2 \end{aligned}$ | run [2] - 72:1, 108:20 $\text { runoff }[2]-39: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:17 } \\ & \text { seek }[1]-112: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 86:11 <br> settlement [8]-9:14, |
| Report [1]-83:10 | responded [1] - 60:13 | 39:24 | eking [4]-41:25, | 24:1, 41:3, 41:5, |
| $\text { report [2] - 83:10, } 96: 5$ | Response [5] - 4:16, |  | $58: 24,70: 18,71: 8$ | 48:12, 48:18, 85:12, |
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| $119: 2$ setup $[1]-21: 19$ seven $[5]-69: 17$, $129: 22,130: 3$, 130:21, 131:7 several $[6]-20: 9$, 27:16, 59:15, $68: 2$, 93:24, 102:8 sewer $[2]-91: 7$ shadowing $[1]-66: 18$ Share $[13]-48: 12$, 48:18, $52: 19,53: 19$, $53: 22,58: 8,58: 18$, $58: 19,85: 6,85: 13$, $90: 2,90: 6,91: 9$ share $[2]-71: 6,86: 11$ shared $[1]-26: 12$ sharing $[1]-72: 12$ Sheridan $[3]-2: 11$, $9: 10,50: 21$ shift $[2]-60: 8,96: 23$ shoes $[1]-50: 24$ shoot $[1]-108: 18$ shopping $[1]-114: 15$ short $[1]-124: 3$ shortfall $[2]-16: 15$, $16: 20$ shorthand $[1]-11: 18$ show $[2]-69: 7,70: 19$ SHULMAN $[1]-2: 4$ Shulman $[1]-8: 1$ shut $[1]-61: 4$ Sica $[11]-15: 22,16: 1$, $16: 16,63: 16,64: 12$, $74: 14,81: 11,87: 4$, $95: 8,95: 24,106: 1$ side $[15]-17: 2,21: 9$, $40: 16,54: 21,67: 2$, $67: 3,67: 6,67: 9$, $67: 14,89: 3,114: 16$, $117: 20,124: 6$, $126: 22$ sign $[1]-40: 16$ signage $[1]-69: 2$ significance $[2]-$ $24: 17,25: 14$ significant $[7]-18: 1$, $23: 22,23: 24,25: 13$, $39: 7,65: 6,95: 13$ Silver $[1]-17: 9$ similar $[5]-15: 2$, $38: 4,94: 3,106: 9$, $128: 2$ simple $[1]-20: 3$ simplest $[1]-73: 21$ single $[15]-22: 12$, $36: 21,38: 5,43: 11$, $65: 5,78: 18,88: 11$, $89: 7,91: 19,91: 20$, 92:20, $96: 11,100: 3$, | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 23,121: 20 \\ & \text { single-family }[10]- \\ & 36: 21,38: 5,43: 11, \\ & 78: 18,88: 11,89: 7, \\ & 91: 19,91: 20,96: 11, \\ & 113: 23 \\ & \text { single-story }[1]- \\ & \text { 100:3 } \\ & \text { sit }[5]-109: 5,112: 17, \\ & 116: 7,119: 21, \\ & 123: 14 \\ & \text { site }[22]-14: 22, \\ & 17: 16,18: 19,22: 2, \\ & 22: 22,25: 14,35: 22, \\ & 39: 8,39: 12,39: 14, \\ & 39: 20,40: 5,44: 3, \\ & 62: 13,62: 15,65: 24, \\ & 66: 16,66: 17,86: 19, \\ & 89: 20,96: 8,97: 1 \\ & \text { site's }[1]-39: 13 \\ & \text { sites }[1]-24: 15 \\ & \text { sits }[2]-114: 15,116: 5 \\ & \text { sitting }[3]-63: 21, \\ & 109: 24,120: 16 \\ & \text { situation }[14]-61: 12, \\ & 65: 18,66: 16,67: 1, \\ & 79: 21,112: 19, \\ & 118: 12,118: 22, \\ & 118: 24,119: 8, \\ & 120: 25,125: 7, \\ & 128: 2,129: 9 \\ & \text { situations }[1]-96: 18 \\ & \text { six }[8]-51: 15,53: 2, \\ & 56: 13,78: 19,86: 18, \\ & 96: 12,122: 10 \\ & \text { size }[4]-43: 24,100: 1, \\ & 111: 4,113: 8 \\ & \text { sizing }[1]-61: 10 \\ & \text { SKRABLE }[1]-2: 19 \\ & \text { Skrable }[1]-76: 23 \\ & \text { Skrable's }[2]-60: 4, \\ & 121: 23 \\ & \text { slew }[1]-120: 19 \\ & \text { sliding }[1]-31: 18 \\ & \text { slowing }[1]-65: 4 \\ & \text { small }[1]-99: 22 \\ & \text { smaller }[2]-40: 1, \\ & 69: 3 \\ & \text { smallest }[1]-123: 15 \\ & \text { so.. }[2]-49: 19,127: 10 \\ & \text { social }[2]-110: 22, \\ & 112: 1 \\ & \text { society }[1]-75: 5 \\ & \text { solar }[1]-73: 13 \\ & \text { solely }[1]-110: 23 \\ & \text { solution }[1]-80: 18 \\ & \text { solve }[1]-77: 18 \\ & \text { someone }[5]-72: 3, \\ & 86: 17,97: 4,107: 8, \\ & 111: 20 \end{aligned}$ | ```sometimes [3]-76:2, 80:7, 124:13 somewhat [1] - 89:20 somewhere [1] - 90:9 soon [3]-57:1, 86:2 sorry [8] - 14:20, 31:10, 31:12, 47:14, 47:20, 55:8, 82:20, 115:25 sort [1] - 12:2 sound [4] - 14:1, 24:23, 35:9, 125:25 sounds [3] - 13:13, 13:17, 14:3 source [2]-22:17, 111:14 sources [1] - 29:16 space [8] - 20:9, 76:17, 78:11, 78:14, 79:9, 83:14, 84:18, 111:18 spaces [7]-17:13, 39:20, 39:23, 40:15, 44:17, 60:20, 60:23 special [7]-30:22, 54:17, 55:24, 73:21, 73:24, 74:3 specialized [2] - 75:13, 75:18 specific [12] - 18:16, 18:19, 21:9, 28:11, 65:15, 75:25, 82:18, 82:21, 82:22, 102:9, 102:11, 102:13 specifically \([7]-18: 8\), 19:18, 23:21, 46:10, 64:3, 72:17, 82:5 specificity \({ }_{[1]}-125: 23\) speculate [2]-99:25, 105:21 speculation [1] - 105:8 speculative \({ }_{[1]}-91: 14\) spent [3]-61:6, 122:22, 124:7 spirit [1] - 27:20 spots [1]-100:5 square [10] - 31:19, 32:22, 33:25, 34:17, 34:18, 39:6, 44:1, 56:14, 56:16, 99:23 stake [6] - 16:19, 63:15, 63:19, 63:25, 74:25, \(95: 11\) stand \([3]-4: 8,17: 24\), 70:20 standards [3] - 74:9, 78:4, 78:7 standpoint [1] - 22:10 stands [1] - 108:15``` | ```start [4]-50:17, 51:6, 76:19, 108:9 started [1]-8:4 starts [1]-113:21 State [6]-123:24, 126:11, 129:15, 133:4, 133:4, 133:7 state [13]-17:11, 19:12, 29:9, 45:8, 48:19, 58:14, 58:21, 59:11, 98:13, 98:14, 98:17, 106:2, 113:5 statement [7]-24:21, 37:14, 70:12, 83:20, 83:23, 110:9, 119:13 statements [3] - 83:16, 97:23, 110:21 station [2]-26:4, 88:12 status [3] - 73:21, 74:3, 79:24 statute [1] - 133:7 Statutes [1] - 73:5 stay [2] - 45:10, 111:5 stays [2]-45:16, 122:24 steal [1] - 87:23 Steinhagen [16]-3:3, 8:9, 8:12, 8:15, 8:23, 9:7, 42:16, 43:2, 45:13, 47:5, 48:3, 49:25, 50:14, 50:15, 50:20, 109:4 STEINHAGEN [29] - 2:8, 3:6, 9:4, 10:5, 10:19, 11:1, 11:7, 29:22, 29:25, 42:7, 47:7, 47:10, 47:16, 50:1, 50:5, 50:8, 50:17, 52:9, 52:11, 52:18, 52:23, 53:10, 53:24, 54:4, 54:12, 55:3, 55:7, 55:13, 55:16 Steinhagen's [2] - 45:5, 57:15 step [1] - 95:10 stepped \([1]-25: 21\) steps [1] - 7:20 still [14]-10:11, 16:23, 56:11, 58:23, 59:1, 76:3, 83:7, 85:24, 92:16, 110:15, 111:18, 112:15, 120:6, 126:5 stipends [1] - 116:6 stop [2]-101:24, 125:15 stories [1] - 66:9 storm [1] - 122:13``` | ```stormwater \({ }_{[11]}\) - 20:23, 21:2, 39:14, 39:24, 56:24, 78:7, 113:4, 113:5, 113:8, 121:8, 122:8 story [3] - 56:16, 66:13, 100:3 street [5]-25:3, 28:11, 45:12, 61:16, 100:22 Street [1] - 9:9 stringent [1]-113:9 strong [1] - 78:13 struck [1]-27:19 structure [18]-26:14, 27:1, 27:4, 27:11, 29:8, 29:18, 44:24, 45:1, 45:16, 71:25, 72:7, 73:14, 76:18, 79:22, 80:20, 91:24, 91:25, 96:25 studies [1]-54:15 stuff [1] - 98:1 subdivide [1]-80:14 subdivision [2] - 78:19, 86:19 subject [3]-130:14, 130:16, 130:19 submission [2]-22:4, 96:21 submit [2]-29:12, 68:18 submitted [5] - 16:8, 96:6, 98:12, 104:13, 128:14 subsequent [1] - 22:3 substantial [9] - 22:23, 27:12, 97:7, 97:9, 105:16, 105:18, 105:19, 115:8, 118:3 substantially [2] - 15:21, 52:14 substantiated [1] - 17:13 substitute \({ }^{[1]}\) - 125:9 subsumed [7]-30:7, 30:14, 30:21, 30:25, 44:13, 68:21, \(88: 6\) subsummation [2] - 87:15, 88:5 success [1]-17:22 sue [5]-102:1, 102:4, 103:11, 103:13, 103:14 sued [1]-103:15 sues [1] - 117:18 suggest [1]-28:16 suggested [2] - 77:11, 113:25``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| suggesting [1] - 27:18 | 106:7, 106 | 34:13, 35:2 | 107:25, 110:1 | 2, 67:23, 68:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| suitability ${ }_{[1]}-73: 25$ | 20, 106:22 | 25, 38:1, 38:13 | 1:15, 111:17 | 11:1, 114 |
| suite [1] - 116:13 | 107:17, 118:8, | 39:12, 44:12, 45:3 | 112:25, 113 | troubled [1] - 123:1 |
| Suite [2]-2:5, 2:9 <br> sum [2]-49:22, 85:16 <br> summate [1]-71:21 <br> summation [4]-8:19, <br> 50:16, 55:9, 71:22 | 127:11, 129:2 | $47: 16,62: 22,62: 25,$ | $113: 18,114: 2$ | troubling [2] - 78:12 |
|  | T | 69:6, 71:6, 75:1, | 19: | 3] - 60:1 |
|  |  | 79:18, | 119:15, 120: | 108:14, 108:23 |
|  | tables [1] | 9, 96:1 | 120:21, 122 | true [12] - 14:14 |
| SUMMATIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ -3:5 | talks [3] - 42:16, 70:6, | 104:15, 107:9, | 123:2, 123:16 | 5:12, 33:4, 42:23, |
|  | 85:3 | :12, 108:3 | 23 | 6, 53:11, 56: |
| Sunden's [1] - 108:8 | TAPPAN ${ }_{[2]}-1: 1,1: 9$ | :6, 133 | 23:24, 124 | :8, 68:12, 68:13 |
| Superior [1]-114:20 | Tappan [35]-1:4, 1:5, | te | 10, 124: | 83:12, 129:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { superior }[4]-102: 3, \\ & 104: 4,105: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 4:5, 7:16, 13:11, | THE $[4]-1: 3,42: 12$ $49 \cdot 17,82 \cdot 20$ | 124:19, 125:3, 129 | $\text { truly }[1]-120: 10$ |
|  | 18:16, 18:17, 18:20, | themselves [1] - 116:3 | nhouses [4] | 1] - |
| supermarket [1] - 123:25 | 19:11, 23:10, 36:8, | theoretically ${ }_{[2]}$ | 38:5, 43:11, 119:23, | try [7] - 10:14, 11:1 |
| supply ${ }_{[1]}-15: 24$ <br> supports [1]-72:15 | 37:17, 44:6, 54:24 | 56:10, 112:1 | 125:1 | 11:3, 75:21, 109:15, |
|  | a:5, 60:7, 66:15 | THERE [1]-1 | towns [4]-110:1 | 109:17, 119:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { suppose }[2]-8: 13, \\ & 25: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 25,78: 9,79: 16, \\ & 83: 24,92: 20,92: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { they've }[4]-52: 24, \\ & 81: 14,82: 12,97: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:24, 122:16, } \\ & 123: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { trying }[3]-9: 17,10: 8, \\ & 81: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { supposed }[4]-74: 13, \\ 98: 14,98: 17,98: 24 \end{gathered}$ | D:16, 100:23 | third [6]-64:14, 85 | township [1] - 58:12 | nami |
|  | 108 | 8, 90:21, 91:4 | Township [2]-52:12 | turkey [1] - 108:18 |
| supposedly [1] - | 111:12, 114:5, | 20:5 | 95:8 | turn [5] - 57:4, 116:22 |
|  | :12, 125:10 | third-round [1]-85:8 | ks [1] - 12 | 119:21, 119:24 |
| surface ${ }^{[2]}-20: 23$,$39: 13$ |  | [2] -1:1 | [2] - 80:15, 90:20 | 120:14 |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }}[2]-111: 14$ |  | traditional [2]-36:20, 43:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { turned }[2] \text { - 103:1 } \\ & \text { 130:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { surplus [2]-16:24, } \\ & 55: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 120:18 | 27:6, 35:1, 56:1 | traffic [20]-33:19 | turning [1]-56:20 |
| surprise [1]-41:22 | taxpayers' [1]-107:16 | $65: 23,66: 9,108: 16,$ | $38: 15,40: 4,63: 6$ | turns [2]-67:7 |
| surrounding [4]-$\begin{aligned} & 17: 14,22: 25,37: 9, \\ & 69: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 1,59: 18$ | ee-story [1] - 56:16 | $\begin{aligned} & : 18,79: 14, \\ & 5: 25,96: 5, \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | 117:18 |
|  | team's [1] - 12 | throw [1] - 108:21 | $11: 12,113: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { two }[16]-44: 21, \\ & 55: 24,56: 11,61 \end{aligned}$ |
| Survey [1]-11:15 <br> survey $[1]$ - 115:10 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ten }[6]-34: 2,41: 1 \\ 56: 5,59: 2,59: 7, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thunder }[2]-87: 23 \\ & 89: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:21, 114:2, } \\ & \text { 114:3, 114:4, 120:7, } \\ & 121: 8,125: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 23,66: 9,82: 3 \\ & 84: 25,98: 11,99: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { survive }[2]-126: 8, \\ & 126: 19 \end{aligned}$ | tend [2] - 94:20 | timeframe [1] - 64:24 | transaction [1] | $2: 17,119: 2$ |
| suspect $[1]-78: 21$ <br> swearing [2]-5:18, $5: 19$ | ative [1] - | $y[4]-9: 16,17: 25,$ |  | 122:19, 132:7 |
|  | term [2] - 98:2, 102:8 | [1] - 118:2 | 33:15, 104:8, 133:10 | 66:9, 99:17 |
| SWORN [1] - 3:2 <br> sworn [2]-9:3, 10:21 <br> sympathize [1] - 93:4 <br> sympathy [1]-75:8 <br> system [1] - 91:23 | terms [8]-27:9, 38:7 | 121:2 | TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:3 | twofold [2] - 30:1 |
|  | $44: 24,45: 14,73: 20$ | tomorrow [3]-80:5 | transcripts [2]-104:7, 104:24 | 90:15 |
|  | $\text { test }[6]-15: 22,16: 1,$ | tonight [12]-9:12, | nsitional [1] - 89:5 | :20, 42:21, |
|  | $16: 17,56: 1,63: 16$ | $: 11,53$ | veling ${ }_{[1]}-124: 12$ | :5, 61:7, 61:2 |
| Szabo [11]-47:24, | 64:12 | :20, 87:16 | at [1] - 39:2 | 4:8, 74:14, 75:1 |
|  | 67:1 | , 98:3, 99:2 | ating $[1]-71: 17$ | :3, 81:2, 98: |
| $95: 6,95: 8,95: 22$ | testified [10]-11:13, | 05:17, 117 | atise [2]-30:23 | 106:11, 123: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 17,106: 5,121: 4, \\ & 123: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 12:23, 13:1 | $k[2]-82: 3,115$ | 3:2 | pes [5] - 72:16, |
|  | 14.14, 29.5, 35.2 | total [1]-27: | ent [2] - 39:12 | :24, 75:22, 77:22, |
| SZABO [38]-2:20, | testifies [1]-107: | 65: | , |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 8,48: 1,71: 1,79: 2, \\ & 82: 22,87: 22,88: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { testify }[5]-15: 10$ | 7:16, 118:12 <br> [2]-82:6, 1 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { tree }[5]-76: 17,78: 11, \\ 78: 14,78: 20,115: 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { typical }[1]-43: 10 \\ & \text { typically }[1]-38: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $25: 19,26: 17,63: 17,$ |  | trees [8]-68:1, 108:4, | typically [1]-38.13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 88: 3,89: 22,90: 15, \\ & 90: 19,90: 25,91: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $107: 11$ <br> testimony [46]-12:22 | 121: | $10,108: 1$ | U |
| 91:6, 91:13, 91:16, $91: 20,92: 10,92: 13$ | $12: 25,14: 11,16: 2,$ | 125: | $112: 4,121$ | nable [2] - 9:21, 66:1 |
| 92:15, 92:17, 92:23, | 7:9, 17:25, | town [37]-50:24, | es-lined [1] - 68: | contradicted |
| 93:2, 99:25, 105:10, | 0:6, | 23 | mendous [1] | 62:21, 63:1 |
|  | 21:1, 22:2, 22:23, $26: 20,27: 5,30: 5$ | $0,103: 12$ | 76:2 | [27] - 9:3, 9:12 |
| 105:13, 105:19, 105:24, 106:4, | 26:20, 27:5, 30:5, | 106:7, 107:21, | tried [7] - 43:7, 65:20, | 10:21, 21:2, 22:13, |
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|  | ```109:5, 109:24, 114:22, 115:9, 120:7, 120:19, 124:14, 125:8, 130:3 update [2] - 78:6 updated [1] - 78:3 upgraded [1] - 78:3 upheld [1]-118:6 Urban [2]-93:21, 94:10 urgent [1]-98:24 users [2] - 12:8, 18:22 uses [5] - 15:2, 72:24, 94:3, 94:17, 95:4 \begin{tabular}{c}  V \\ \hline vacant \([6]-41: 10\), \\ 53:11, \(90: 1,90: 14\), \\ 92:1, 92:3 \\ valid \([1]-85: 19\) \\ validate \([1]-122: 5\) \\ valuable \([2]-28: 21\), \\ 112:8 \\ value \([3]-20: 18\), \\ \(62: 12,73: 9\) \\ variance \([35]-28: 24\), \\ \(30: 5,30: 7,30: 14\), \\ \(31: 6,31: 7,31: 8\), \\ \(31: 9,31: 11,32: 1\), \\ \(32: 16,33: 7,39: 8\), \\ \(39: 10,40: 10,40: 19\), \\ \(41: 25,51: 17,53: 2\), \\ \(53: 4,56: 3,56: 4\), \\ \(66: 7,66: 8,68: 19\), \\ \(68: 22,69: 2,69: 4\), \\ \(71: 9,71: 18,73: 24\), \\ \(76: 10,82: 2,95: 24\) \\ variances \([13]-30: 4\), \\ \(30: 6,30: 13,30: 18\), \\ \(30: 20,30: 21,31: 4\), \\ \(55: 25,68: 25,69: 3\), \\ \(88: 6\) \\ variations \([1]-88: 7\) \\ various \([1]-60: 17\) \\ vehicles \([1]-60: 21\) \\ verbatim \([1]-133: 5\) \\ vernal \([2]-62: 2,62: 17\) \\ versus \([2]-16: 21\), \\ \(54: 18\) \\ viability \([1]-17: 16\) \\ viable \([2]-119: 8\), \\ \(120: 1\) \\ viCE \([38]-1: 11,3: 14\), \\ \(5: 15,5: 24,6: 6,6: 16\), \\ \(48: 2,48: 20,49: 6\), \\ \(101: 15,101: 23\), \\ 102:7, 102:12, \\ 102:15, 102:21, \\ 102:24, 103:2, \\ \hline \end{tabular}``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:8, 103:14, } \\ & \text { 103:18, 103:21, } \\ & \text { 103:23, 104:1, } \\ & \text { 104:9, 104:17, } \\ & \text { 104:21, 105:1, } \\ & \text { 105:11, 106:24, } \\ & \text { 107:7, 107:10, } \\ & \text { 107:14, 107:18, } \\ & \text { 107:20, 121:11, } \\ & \text { 128:16, 128:20, } \\ & \text { 132:2 } \\ & \text { Vice }[1]-3: 4 \\ & \text { vicinity }[1]-125: 1 \\ & \text { VICKEN }[1]-1: 16 \\ & \text { view }[1]-102: 18 \\ & \text { violated }[1]-65: 18 \\ & \text { violating }[6]-49: 5, \\ & 49: 11,65: 5,65: 10, \\ & 65: 12,65: 16 \\ & \text { virtue }[1]-92: 4 \\ & \text { vision }[1]-84: 8 \\ & \text { visit }[2]-62: 13, \\ & 124: 16 \\ & \text { visual }[6]-38: 8, \\ & 38: 11,38: 17,38: 18, \\ & 66: 11,66: 24 \\ & \text { voiced }[2]-111: 22, \\ & 113: 4 \\ & \text { volunteer }[1]-108: 1 \\ & \text { volunteers }[1]-116: 6 \\ & \text { vote }[8]-100: 12, \\ & 125: 6,127: 5,127: 6, \\ & 129: 21,129: 23, \\ & 130: 3,132: 5 \\ & \text { voted }[2]-103: 10, \\ & 130: 22 \\ & \text { votes }[4]-129: 24, \\ & 129: 25,130: 4,130: 5 \\ & \text { vs }[6]-68: 20,87: 21, \\ & 93: 21,94: 12,94: 15, \\ & 95: 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```water [5] - 20:23, 39:25, 56:22, 57:4, 57:5 ways [4]-44:21, 61:14, 71:17, 77:4 wealthy [2]-13:23, 14:6 weather [1]-61:4 WEDNESDAY [1]-1:2 week [1]-124:12 weeks [2]-82:1, 124:13 WEIDMANN [48] - 1:10, 3:13, 4:1, 4:11, 4:14, 5:12, 5:22, 6:1, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11, 6:14, 7:15, 7:23, 8:22, 47:23, 49:14, 49:20, 49:23, 70:23, 97:10, 97:12, 100:13, 100:21, 101:1, 101:6, 101:11, 101:13, 105:3, 106:5, 106:8, 106:13, 107:23, 110:7, 115:3, 129:14, 129:17, 130:10, 130:16, 130:19, 130:25, 131:4, 131:6, 131:10, 131:12, 131:14, 132:4, 132:7 Weidmann [4]-4:13, 6:13, 131:14, 132:3 weigh [5]-83:5, 84:15, 87:11, 93:10, 111:1 weighed [1] - 84:16 weighing [1] - 64:16 weight \({ }_{[1]}-117: 20\) welcome [1] - 129:14 welfare [4]-73:11, 94:13, 95:16, 95:20 Welsh [1]-94:12 West [1]-1:21 Westwood [1] - 124:3 wetland [1] - 86:21 wetlands \([7]\) - 62:2, 62:9, 78:1, 111:18, 111:24, 112:2, 114:17 whack [1] - 46:20 whatsoever [1] - 110:17 WHEATLE [1]-3:2 which.. [1] - 28:9 whole [3]-30:3, 58:21, 120:19 widening [1]-79:20 wife [2]-109:23,``` | 123:14 <br> WILLIAM [2]-1:10, <br> 1:12 <br> williams [3] - 10:18, <br> 10:20, 50:7 <br> WILLIAMS [3]-3:2, <br> 10:22, 10:24 <br> Williams [13]-8:16, <br> 8:24, 8:25, 9:2, 11:2, <br> 11:8, 42:15, 47:25, <br> 49:16, 63:17, 68:21, <br> 74:16, 87:17 <br> willing [2]-80:14, <br> 86:9 <br> wind [1] - 73:13 <br> window [1] - 129:11 <br> windows [1] - 56:17 <br> winning [1] - 105:4 <br> wish [3]-10:9, 120:3, <br> 120:4 <br> witness [1] - 47:11 <br> WITNESS [2]-42:12, <br> 49:17 <br> witnessed [1]-83:25 <br> witnesses [3]-49:25, <br> 71:19, 71:20 <br> won [3]-105:6, 106:6, 106:10 <br> wonder [1] - 112:24 <br> Woodcliff [2] - 2:6, 8:2 <br> wooded [1] - 111:3 <br> word [3]-63:5, 66:8, <br> 105:19 <br> words [1]-71:14 <br> works [3] - 104:2, <br> 122:8, 130:2 <br> worry [1] - 124:21 <br> worse [1]-122:10 <br> worth [1]-83:19 <br> wrapped [1]-8:7 <br> write [1] - 128:17 <br> writing [1] - 99:8 <br> written [4]-30:23, <br> 63:5, 115:14, 123:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 123: 9,123: 11, \\ & \text { 124:8, 124:20 } \\ & \text { yell }[1]-108: 21 \\ & \text { York }_{[2]}-14: 23, \\ & 126: 16 \\ & \text { you're.. }[1]-21: 13 \\ & \text { yourself }[2]-11: 11, \\ & 110: 4 \\ & \text { yourselves }[1]- \\ & 109: 12 \\ & \text { yup }[1]-131: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| Z |
| zero $[2]-105: 6,127: 3$ Zone $[1]-26: 24$ zone $[13]-31117$, 32:4, 32:10, 32:14, 32:22, 33:24, 34:4, 34:9, 34:16, 39:5, 71:10, $88: 11,96: 1$ zoned $[1]-114: 12$ zones $[1]-36: 7$ Zoning $[6]-26: 25$, 32:3, $44,6,51: 11$, 56:7, $56: 9$ zoning $[7]-36: 12$, $51: 16,70: 8,86: 6$, 88:7, $93: 25,94: 9$ |
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